hexagon:2611.00908v1

Monodromy in Supergeometry

Sebastian Denton
sebdenton393@gmail.com

November 23, 2026

Abstract

We develop the foundations of super fiber bundles, super connections, and parallel transport in the category of H^∞ supermanifolds, with an emphasis on the $\mathcal{S}$-relative formalism for superpoints $\mathcal{S} = \mathbb{R}^{0|L}$. After establishing the existence and uniqueness of supermanifold structures for bundles defined by smooth formal atlases, we introduce super vector bundles, principal super fiber bundles, and the super frame bundle. We then formulate principal super connections both geometrically, as right-invariant horizontal distributions, and algebraically, as even Lie-superalgebra-valued connection 1-forms, and prove their equivalence. The covariant derivative, curvature, and Bianchi identity are developed in this setting. We define piecewise smooth $\mathcal{S}$-paths, prove existence and uniqueness of parallel sections, and show that parallel transport is functorial under concatenation and inversion and reduces to classical parallel transport on the underlying reduced manifold. For flat connections, parallel transport is invariant under $\mathcal{S}$-homotopy, yielding a monodromy representation of the $\mathcal{S}$-fundamental group. We prove that the $\mathcal{S}$-fundamental group is canonically isomorphic to the classical fundamental group of the reduced manifold. Finally, we show that two flat super connections are gauge-equivalent if and only if their functorial monodromy representations are naturally conjugate, and that monodromy induces a bijection between isomorphism classes of flat super vector bundles and natural conjugacy classes of fundamental-group representations, extending the classical Riemann–Hilbert correspondence to supergeometry.

1 Introduction

The theory of supermanifolds, initiated by Berezin, Kostant, and Leites, provides a powerful geometric framework for incorporating fermionic degrees of freedom into classical differential geometry. While the foundational aspects of supermanifold theory are well-established, the systematic development of fiber bundle theory, connections, and their topological invariants within the H^∞ (smooth) category remains an active area of research with significant applications to mathematical physics, particularly in the study of supersymmetric field theories and supergravity.This paper presents a comprehensive treatment of super fiber bundles, super connections, and the parallel transport and monodromy of flat super connections. Our primary objective is to develop the foundational machinery necessary for understanding the global geometric and topological properties of super vector bundles equipped with flat connections, extending classical results to the supergeometric setting.We begin in Section 1 by establishing the fundamental definitions and constructions of super fiber bundles in the category of H^∞ supermanifolds. We introduce the notion of a smooth formal bundle atlas and prove a key theorem (Theorem 2.3) that guarantees the existence of a unique supermanifold structure on the total space of a bundle constructed from such an atlas. This foundational result allows us to rigorously define super vector bundles, including important examples such as the tangent bundle and the odd super Möbius strip—a non-trivial super line bundle of rank (0|1) over the circle. We also introduce principal super fiber bundles and the super frame bundle, which will be essential for the subsequent development of connections. Section 2 introduces the theory of super connections on principal super fiber bundles. Following the classical Ehresmann approach, we define principal connections geometrically as

right-invariant horizontal distributions and establish their equivalence with super connection 1-forms (Theorem 3.2). We carefully develop the covariant derivative, the graded wedge-bracket of Lie-valued forms, and the curvature of a super connection, culminating in the Bianchi identity. Throughout, we emphasize the $\mathcal{S}$-relative formalism, which allows for parameter spaces with non-trivial odd coordinates—a crucial feature for capturing the full supergeometric structure. In Section 3, we turn to the study of parallel transport. We introduce the concept of $\mathcal{S}$-paths and piecewise smooth $\mathcal{S}$-paths in a supermanifold, and define parallel sections along such paths via the parallel transport ODE. We establish the existence and uniqueness of parallel sections (Lemma 4.6) and prove that parallel transport is functorial with respect to path concatenation and inversion. A key result (Lemma 4.10) shows that the restriction of super parallel transport to the reduced manifold recovers classical parallel transport, ensuring compatibility with the underlying classical geometry. Section 4 is devoted to the monodromy of flat super connections. We define the $\mathcal{S}$-fundamental group $\pi_1^{\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{M}, x)$ and prove that it is canonically isomorphic to the classical fundamental group of the reduced manifold (Theorem 5.5). This topological reduction—a consequence of Batchelor's theorem—demonstrates that the homotopy theory of supermanifolds is largely controlled by their reduced spaces, while the supergeometric data is encoded in the parallel transport operators. We prove that for flat connections, parallel transport depends only on the $\mathcal{S}$-homotopy class of the path (Proposition 5.3), yielding a well-defined monodromy representation $\mathrm{Mon}_x^{\mathcal{S}}(\nabla)\colon \pi_1^{\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{M}, x) \to \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}},0}(x^*\mathscr{E})$. Our main ideas in this direction include: Theorem 5.8: Two flat super connections are gauge-equivalent if and only if their functorial $\mathcal{S}$-monodromy representations are naturally conjugate. Theorem 5.10: The assignment of functorial monodromy representations induces a bijection between isomorphism classes of super vector bundles with flat connections and natural conjugacy classes of representations of the fundamental group. These results extend the classical Riemann-Hilbert correspondence to the supergeometric setting, providing a complete classification of flat super vector bundles in terms of their monodromy data. Throughout the paper, we work within the Molotkov-Sachse functorial framework, viewing supermanifolds as functors from the category of superpoints (Grassmann algebras) to sets. This perspective, combined with the explicit use of $\mathcal{S}$-points and $\mathcal{S}$-paths, allows us to rigorously handle the odd parameters that arise in supergeometry without resorting to ad hoc constructions. The restriction to superpoints $\mathcal{S} = \mathbb{R}^{0|L}$ is justified by the fact that the internal Hom objects in the category of supermanifolds are completely determined by their $\bigwedge \mathbb{R}^L$-points, ensuring that our results capture the full supergeometric structure.Several technical lemmas (Lemmas 4.8, 4.9, and 4.10) establish the functorial properties of parallel transport and its compatibility with the reduced classical geometry, which are essential for the proofs of the main theorems. The appendices provide additional background on H^∞ supermanifolds and the Molotkov-Sachse formalism, making the paper self-contained for readers familiar with the basics of supergeometry. Notation and Conventions. Throughout, $\mathcal{M}$, $\mathcal{N}$, etc., denote supermanifolds in the sense of Berezin-Kostant-Leites. We work in the category of H^∞ supermanifolds unless otherwise stated. For a supermanifold $\mathcal{M}$, we denote its reduced manifold by M_0. The body of a morphism $f\colon \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{N}$ is denoted $f_0\colon M_0 \to N_0$. For a super vector space $V = V_0 \oplus V_1$, we denote by $\mathrm{Aut}_0(V)$ the group of even (parity-preserving) linear automorphisms. The symbol $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}$ denotes the structure sheaf of $\mathcal{M}$, and $\Omega^k(\mathcal{M})$ denotes the module of super differential k-forms. For a Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g}$, we denote by $\Omega^k(\mathcal{M}, \mathfrak{g})_0$ the space of even $\mathfrak{g}$-valued super k-forms. All connections are assumed to be even unless otherwise specified.

2 Super Fiber Bundles

We begin with super fiber bundles as they will be very useful in the subsequent sections, with them in the category of H^∞ supermanifolds. For this section, we explicitly work with H^∞ supermanifolds unless otherwise stated. For a review of H^∞ supermanifolds, see appendix A of [Ed21].

Definition 2.1. A super fiber bundle $(\mathcal{E}, \pi, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{F})$ consists of supermanifolds $\mathcal{E}$, $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ denoted as total space, base and typical fiber respectively, along with a smooth surjective map $\pi : \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{M}$ called projection which satisfys the local triviality property: for any $p \in \mathcal{E}$ there exists an open subset $U \subset \mathcal{M}$ which is an open neighborhood of $\pi(p)$ and a homeomorphism $\phi : \pi^{-1}(U) \to U \times \mathcal{F}$ called a

local trivialization such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi^{-1}(U) & \xrightarrow{\phi} & U \times \mathcal{F} \\ {\scriptstyle \pi}\downarrow & \swarrow_{\mathrm{pr}_1} & \\ U & & \end{array}$$

with pr_1 denoting the projection onto the first factor.

Definition 2.2. Let $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ be supermanifolds with $\mathcal{E}$ being an abstract set and $\pi : \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{M}$ a surjective map. A formal bundle chart (U, ϕ_U) is defined with $U \subset \mathcal{M}$ being open and $\phi : \pi^{-1}(U) \to U \times \mathcal{F}$ a bijective map with $pr_1 \circ \phi = \pi|_{\pi^{-1}(U)}$ A collection $\{(U_\alpha, \phi_\alpha)\}_{\alpha\in\Upsilon}$ of formal bundle charts is called a smooth formal bundle atlas of $\mathcal{E}$ with respect to to π iff $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in\Upsilon}$ is an opening covering of $\mathcal{M}$ and for any $\alpha, \beta \in \Upsilon$ with non intersection of charts and the transition functions

$$\phi_\beta \circ \phi_\alpha^{-1} : (U_\alpha \cap U_\beta) \times \mathcal{F} \to (U_\alpha \cap U_\beta) \times \mathcal{F}$$

are smooth.

Theorem 2.3. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ be supermanifolds of dimensions $\dim \mathcal{M} = (m, n)$ and $\dim \mathcal{F} = (p, q)$, respectively, $\mathcal{E}$ an abstract set and $\pi : \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{M}$ a surjective map. Let furthermore $\{(U_\alpha, \phi_\alpha)\}_{\alpha\in\Upsilon}$ be a smooth formal bundle atlas of $\mathcal{E}$ (w.r.t. π). Then, there exists a unique topology and smooth structure on $\mathcal{E}$ such that $\mathcal{E}$ becomes a supermanifold of dimension $\dim \mathcal{E} = (m+p, n+q)$ and $(\mathcal{E}, \pi, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{F})$ a super fiber bundle which is locally trivial with respect to the bundle atlas $\{(U_\alpha, \phi_\alpha)\}_{\alpha\in\Upsilon}$.*

Proof. Theorem 2.4 [Ed21] □

Definition 2.4. A super vector bundle of rank $m|n$ is a super fiber bundle $\mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathcal{M}$ such that the typical fiber is a super Λ-vector space $\mathcal{V}$ of dimension $\dim \mathcal{V} = m|n$. For each $x \in \mathcal{M}$, the fibers $\mathcal{E}_x := \pi^{-1}(\{x\})$ have the structure of free super Λ-modules. There exists a smooth bundle atlas $\{(U_\alpha, \phi_\alpha)\}_{\alpha\in\Upsilon}$ of $\mathcal{E}$ such that, for each $\alpha \in \Upsilon$, the induced map

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{\alpha,x} : \mathcal{E}_x &\to \mathcal{V} \\ v_x &\mapsto \mathrm{pr}_2 \circ \phi_\alpha(v_x) \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

$\forall x \in U_\alpha$ is a (right-linear) isomorphism of super Λ-modules.

A super vector bundle of rank $1|1$ is also called a super line bundle.

From this we consider some examples.

Example 2.5. Consider a supermanifold $\mathcal{M}$, we define $T\mathcal{M}$ to be all of the tangent vectors of $\mathcal{M}$

$$T\mathcal{M} = [(p, v) | p \in \mathcal{M}, \;\; v \in T_p\mathcal{M}]$$

If U is an open coordinate subset of $\mathcal{M}$, then TU is bijective with the product bundle $U \times \mathbb{R}^{m|n}$. Using Theorem 2.3 to give the topology to $T\mathcal{M}$, allows for it to be given a manifold structure such that $T\mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$ becomes a super vector bundle. Which is a super fiber bundle with its fibers being vectors spaces.

Example 2.6. Let $(\mathcal{E}, \pi, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{F})$ be a super fiber bundle (or specifically, a super vector bundle) with smooth bundle atlas $\{(U_\alpha, \phi_\alpha)\}_{\alpha\in\Upsilon}$, and let $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{M}$ be an open subsupermanifold. Define the restricted set $\mathcal{E}|_\mathcal{U} := \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{U})$ together with the restricted projection $\pi|_{\mathcal{E}|_\mathcal{U}} : \mathcal{E}|_\mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{U}$.

For each $\alpha \in \Upsilon$ such that $U_\alpha \cap \mathcal{U} \neq \emptyset$, restricting ϕ_α yields a formal bundle chart $(U_\alpha \cap \mathcal{U}, \phi_\alpha|_{\pi^{-1}(U_\alpha\cap\mathcal{U})})$. Since the transition functions remain smooth on the intersections, these charts form a smooth formal bundle atlas on $\mathcal{E}|_\mathcal{U}$. By Theorem 2.3, $\mathcal{E}|_\mathcal{U}$ inherits a unique supermanifold structure making $(\mathcal{E}|_\mathcal{U}, \pi|_{\mathcal{E}|_\mathcal{U}}, \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$ a super fiber bundle, called the restriction of $\mathcal{E}$ to $\mathcal{U}$.

Example 2.7. Odd super Möbius strip We can construct a non-trivial super vector bundle with purely odd fibers over the circle S^1, known as the *odd super Möbius strip*. Let the base space be $\mathcal{M} = S^1$ of dimension $(1, 0)$, and let the typical fiber be the purely odd vector space $\mathcal{F} = \mathbb{R}^{0|1}$ of dimension $(0, 1)$ with odd coordinate θ.

Cover S^1 by two overlapping open arcs U_1 and U_2 whose intersection consists of two disjoint open intervals, $U_1 \cap U_2 = A \sqcup B$. Define a formal bundle atlas $\{(U_1, \phi_1), (U_2, \phi_2)\}$ on the set $\mathcal{E}$ by specifying the transition map

$$\phi_2 \circ \phi_1^{-1} : (U_1 \cap U_2) \times \mathbb{R}^{0|1} \to (U_1 \cap U_2) \times \mathbb{R}^{0|1}$$

as follows:

$$(\phi_2 \circ \phi_1^{-1})(x, \theta) = \begin{cases} (x, \theta) & \text{if } x \in A, \\ (x, -\theta) & \text{if } x \in B. \end{cases}$$

Since the identity map and odd sign-reversal $\theta \mapsto -\theta$ are both smooth maps of H^∞ supermanifolds (and preserve the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading), this defines a smooth formal bundle atlas on $\mathcal{E}$. By Theorem 2.3, $\mathcal{E}$ inherits a unique topology and smooth structure making it a supermanifold of dimension $(1, 1)$, and $(\mathcal{E}, \pi, S^1, \mathbb{R}^{0|1})$ becomes a non-trivial super line bundle of rank $(0|1)$.

Definition 2.8. A principal super fiber bundle is defined as a super fiber bundle $\mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{P} \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathcal{M}$ such that the typical fiber is a super Lie group $\mathcal{G}$. The total space $\mathcal{P}$ has a smooth $\mathcal{G}$ right action $\Phi : \mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{P}$ preserving the fibers, which is $\pi \circ \Phi = \pi \circ \mathrm{pr}_1$. Which can be rewritten as $p \cdot g := \Phi(p, g) \in \mathcal{P}_x \ \forall g \in \mathcal{G}$ and $p \in \mathcal{P}$ with $\pi(p) = x \in \mathcal{M}$. Additionally there exist a smooth bundle atlas $\{(U_\alpha, \phi_\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in \Upsilon}$ of $\mathcal{P}$ such that, for each $\alpha \in \Upsilon$, the bundle chart $\phi_\alpha : \pi^{-1}(U_\alpha) \to U_\alpha \times \mathcal{G}$ is $\mathcal{G}$-equivariant, such that $\phi_\alpha \circ \Phi = (\mathrm{id} \times \mu_\mathcal{G}) \circ (\phi_\alpha \times \mathrm{id}_\mathcal{G})$."

Example 2.9 (Super Frame Bundle)**.** Let $\mathcal{M}$ be an H^∞ supermanifold of dimension (m, n) and let $T\mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$ be its tangent super vector bundle. For each $x \in \mathcal{M}$, let $\mathcal{F}_x(\mathcal{M})$ denote the set of all homogeneous bases of the free super Λ-module $T_x\mathcal{M}$, and set $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{M}) := \bigsqcup_{x \in \mathcal{M}} \mathcal{F}_x(\mathcal{M})$ with canonical projection $\pi : \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{M}) \to \mathcal{M}$.

The general linear supergroup $\mathcal{G} = \mathrm{GL}(m|n, \Lambda)$ acts smoothly on $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{M})$ from the right via change of basis, $\Phi(u, g) := u \cdot g$, which preserves each fiber $\mathcal{F}_x(\mathcal{M})$. Any coordinate atlas $\{(U_\alpha, \psi_\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in \Upsilon}$ of $\mathcal{M}$ induces local coordinate frames on $T\mathcal{M}|_{U_\alpha}$, yielding $\mathrm{GL}(m|n, \Lambda)$-equivariant formal bundle charts $\phi_\alpha : \pi^{-1}(U_\alpha) \to U_\alpha \times \mathrm{GL}(m|n, \Lambda)$. By Theorem 2.3, $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{M})$ acquires a unique supermanifold structure making $\mathrm{GL}(m|n, \Lambda) \to \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{M}) \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathcal{M}$ a principal super fiber bundle.

3 Super Connections

Having established the structure of principal super fiber bundles and super vector bundles, we now introduce the notion of a principal connection, which provides a consistent way to differentiate sections and relate nearby fibers. As in the ordinary theory of principal fiber bundles, a principal connection on a $\mathcal{S}$-relative principal super fiber bundle $\mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{P}_{/\mathcal{S}} \xrightarrow{\pi_\mathcal{S}} \mathcal{M}_{/\mathcal{S}}$ can be formulated either geometrically as a right-invariant horizontal geometric distribution on $\mathcal{P}_{/\mathcal{S}}$, or algebraically via an even $\mathrm{Lie}(\mathcal{G})$-valued super connection 1-form $\mathcal{A} \in \Omega^1(\mathcal{P}_{/\mathcal{S}}, \mathfrak{g})_0$. Let $\mathrm{Lie}(\mathcal{G}) \equiv T_e\mathcal{G} = \Lambda \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ denote the super Lie module of the structure super Lie group $\mathcal{G}$ (with $\mathfrak{g}$ the super Lie algebra of smooth left-invariant vector fields on $\mathcal{G}$), and let $\Phi_\mathcal{S} : \mathcal{P}_{/\mathcal{S}} \times \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{P}_{/\mathcal{S}}$ be the smooth $\mathcal{G}$-right action. For any $p \in \mathcal{P}_{/\mathcal{S}}$, the differential of the projection $\pi_\mathcal{S} : \mathcal{P}_{/\mathcal{S}} \to \mathcal{M}_{/\mathcal{S}}$ defines the *vertical tangent bundle* $\mathscr{V}$, whose vertical tangent module at p is a super Λ-submodule of $T_p(\mathcal{P}_{/\mathcal{S}})$ given by

$$\mathscr{V}_p := \ker(D_p\pi_\mathcal{S}).$$

Each element $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ generates a smooth fundamental vector field $\widetilde{X} \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathcal{P}_{/\mathcal{S}})$ tangent to the fibers, and the generalized tangent map $(\Phi_\mathcal{S})_{p*} : T_e\mathcal{G} \to T_p(\mathcal{P}_{/\mathcal{S}})$ establishes an isomorphism $\mathrm{Lie}(\mathcal{G}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathscr{V}_p$ of super Λ-modules.

Definition 3.1 (Principal Connection)**.** Let $\mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{P}_{/\mathcal{S}} \xrightarrow{\pi_{\mathcal{S}}} \mathcal{M}_{/\mathcal{S}}$ be a $\mathcal{S}$-relative principal super fiber bundle with right-action $\Phi_{\mathcal{S}} : \mathcal{P}_{/\mathcal{S}} \times \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{P}_{/\mathcal{S}}$. A *principal connection (à la Ehresmann)* $\mathscr{H}$ on $\mathcal{P}_{/\mathcal{S}}$ is a smooth geometric distribution $\mathscr{H} : \mathcal{P}_{/\mathcal{S}} \ni p \mapsto \mathscr{H}_p \subset T_p(\mathcal{P}_{/\mathcal{S}})$ of horizontal tangent modules on $\mathcal{P}_{/\mathcal{S}}$ of rank $\dim \mathcal{M}$ such that:

1. At every $p \in \mathcal{P}_{/\mathcal{S}}$, the tangent module decomposes as a direct sum of super Λ-modules,
$$\mathscr{H}_p \oplus \mathscr{V}_p = T_p(\mathcal{P}_{/\mathcal{S}}).$$
2. The distribution $\mathscr{H}$ is right-invariant in the sense that
$$(\Phi_{\mathcal{S}})_{g*}\mathscr{H}_p = \mathscr{H}_{p\cdot g}$$
for all $p \in \mathcal{P}_{/\mathcal{S}}$ and $g \in \mathcal{G}$, where $(\Phi_{\mathcal{S}})_{g*}$ denotes the generalized tangent map.

Equivalently, principal connections can be characterized algebraically in terms of kernels of particular 1-forms defined on (relative) principal super fiber bundles, which generalize the standard gauge fields in physics.

Definition 3.2 (Super Connection 1-form)**.** A *super connection* 1*-form* $\mathcal{A}$ on the $\mathcal{S}$-relative principal super fiber bundle $\mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{P}_{/\mathcal{S}} \xrightarrow{\pi_{\mathcal{S}}} \mathcal{M}_{/\mathcal{S}}$ is an even Lie($\mathcal{G}$)-valued 1-form $\mathcal{A} \in \Omega^1(\mathcal{P}_{/\mathcal{S}}, \mathfrak{g})_0 := (\Omega^1(\mathcal{P}_{/\mathcal{S}}) \otimes \mathfrak{g})_0$ such that:

(i) $\langle \widetilde{X} \mid \mathcal{A} \rangle = X \quad \forall X \in \mathfrak{g}$, where $\widetilde{X}$ is the fundamental vector field generated by X.

(ii) $(\Phi_{\mathcal{S}})_g^* \mathcal{A} = \mathrm{Ad}_{g^{-1}} \circ \mathcal{A} \quad \forall g \in \mathcal{G}$, where the generalized tangent map is used in the pullback $(\Phi_{\mathcal{S}})_g^*$.

Example 3.3 (Trivial Principal Connection and Local Gauge Potential)**.** Let $\mathcal{M}_{/\mathcal{S}}$ be a $\mathcal{S}$-relative supermanifold, $\mathcal{G}$ be a super Lie group with super Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, and consider the trivial $\mathcal{S}$-relative principal super fiber bundle
$$\mathcal{P}_{/\mathcal{S}} := \mathcal{M}_{/\mathcal{S}} \times \mathcal{G} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{pr}_1} \mathcal{M}_{/\mathcal{S}},$$
with right $\mathcal{G}$-action $\Phi_{\mathcal{S}}((x,h),g) := (x, h \cdot g)$ for $x \in \mathcal{M}_{/\mathcal{S}}$ and $h, g \in \mathcal{G}$.

1. **Canonical Flat Connection:** At any point $p = (x,h) \in \mathcal{P}_{/\mathcal{S}}$, the tangent module splits canonically as
$$T_{(x,h)}(\mathcal{M}_{/\mathcal{S}} \times \mathcal{G}) \cong T_x\mathcal{M}_{/\mathcal{S}} \oplus T_h\mathcal{G}.$$
Setting $\mathscr{H}_{(x,h)} := T_x\mathcal{M}_{/\mathcal{S}} \oplus \{0\}$ defines a right-invariant horizontal distribution, and hence a principal connection. Under Theorem 3.2, the corresponding super connection 1-form is the pullback of the left-invariant Maurer–Cartan form $\theta_{\mathcal{G}} \in \Omega^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{g})_0$:
$$\mathcal{A}_0 := \mathrm{pr}_2^* \theta_{\mathcal{G}}.$$
Indeed, for any $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, the fundamental vector field on $\mathcal{M}_{/\mathcal{S}} \times \mathcal{G}$ is $\widetilde{X}_{(x,h)} = (0, X_h)$, so $\langle \widetilde{X} \mid \mathcal{A}_0 \rangle = \langle X_h \mid \theta_{\mathcal{G}} \rangle = X$, while right-equivariance follows directly from $R_g^* \theta_{\mathcal{G}} = \mathrm{Ad}_{g^{-1}} \circ \theta_{\mathcal{G}}$.
2. **General Connection via a Gauge Potential:** More generally, any even Lie($\mathcal{G}$)-valued 1-form $A \in \Omega^1(\mathcal{M}_{/\mathcal{S}}, \mathfrak{g})_0$ on the base defines a super connection 1-form $\mathcal{A}$ on $\mathcal{M}_{/\mathcal{S}} \times \mathcal{G}$ by
$$\mathcal{A}_{(x,h)} := \mathrm{Ad}_{h^{-1}} \circ (\mathrm{pr}_1^* A)_{(x,h)} + (\mathrm{pr}_2^* \theta_{\mathcal{G}})_{(x,h)}.$$
In physics, A represents the local gauge potential (supermultiplet of gauge fields), and every super connection 1-form on a general bundle $\mathcal{P}_{/\mathcal{S}}$ takes this form over a local trivializing neighborhood $\mathcal{U}_\alpha \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{/\mathcal{S}}$.

Theorem 3.4 (Equivalence of Principal Connections and Connection 1-Forms)**.** *Let $\mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{P}_{/\mathcal{S}} \to \mathcal{M}_{/\mathcal{S}}$ be an $\mathcal{S}$-relative principal super fiber bundle. There is a one-to-one correspondence between principal connections and connection* 1*-forms on $\mathcal{P}_{/\mathcal{S}}$. Specifically:*

(i) If $\mathcal{H} : \mathcal{P}_{/\mathcal{S}} \ni p \mapsto \mathcal{H}_p$ is a principal connection on $\mathcal{P}_{/\mathcal{S}}$, then the even $\mathrm{Lie}(\mathcal{G})$*-valued* 1*-form $\mathcal{A} \in \Omega^1(\mathcal{P}_{/\mathcal{S}}, \mathfrak{g})_0$ defined by*

$$\langle (\tilde{X}_p, Y_p) \mid \mathcal{A}_p \rangle := X \tag{2}$$

for all $(\tilde{X}_p, Y_p) \in \mathcal{V}_p \oplus \mathcal{H}_p = T_p(\mathcal{P}_{/\mathcal{S}})$, $p \in \mathcal{P}_{/\mathcal{S}}$, and $X \in \mathrm{Lie}(\mathcal{G})$, is a connection 1*-form on $\mathcal{P}_{/\mathcal{S}}$.*

(ii) Conversely, if $\mathcal{A} \in \Omega^1(\mathcal{P}_{/\mathcal{S}}, \mathfrak{g})_0$ is a connection 1*-form on $\mathcal{P}_{/\mathcal{S}}$, then the assignment*

$$\mathcal{H} : \mathcal{P}_{/\mathcal{S}} \ni p \mapsto \ker(\mathcal{A}_p) \subset T_p(\mathcal{P}_{/\mathcal{S}}) \tag{3}$$

defines a principal connection on $\mathcal{P}_{/\mathcal{S}}$.

Proof. **(i)** We must verify that $\mathcal{A}$, as defined in (2), is a smooth, even $\mathrm{Lie}(\mathcal{G})$-valued 1-form satisfying conditions (i) and (ii) of Definition 3.2.

First, to establish smoothness, let $(X_i)_i$ be a homogeneous basis of $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathrm{Lie}(\mathcal{G}) = T_e\mathcal{G}$ with corresponding left-dual basis $({}^iX)_i$ of ${}^*\mathrm{Lie}(\mathcal{G})$. Expanding $\mathcal{A}$ into components ${}^i\mathcal{A} := \mathcal{A} \circ {}^iX$, Remark 3.18 [Ed21] allows us to decompose any smooth vector field $X \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathcal{P}_{/\mathcal{S}})$ into smooth vertical and horizontal parts:

$$X = \mathrm{pr}_v \circ X + \mathrm{pr}_h \circ X =: X_v + X_h.$$

Consequently, ${}^i\mathcal{A}$ is smooth if and only if $\langle X_v \mid {}^i\mathcal{A} \rangle$ and $\langle X_h \mid {}^i\mathcal{A} \rangle$ are smooth for all X, meaning it suffices to check smoothness on vertical and horizontal vector fields separately.

The fundamental vector fields $\tilde{X}_i$ generated by X_i form a global smooth frame for the vertical distribution $\mathcal{V}$. By construction of $\mathcal{A}$, we have

$$\langle \tilde{X}_i \mid {}^j\mathcal{A} \rangle = \delta_i^j \quad \forall i, j, \tag{4}$$

which is trivially smooth. Next, because $\mathcal{H}$ is a smooth geometric distribution, every $p \in \mathcal{P}_{/\mathcal{S}}$ admits an open neighborhood $U_p \subseteq \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{P}$ equipped with a local family $(Y_i)_i$ of smooth horizontal vector fields forming a homogeneous basis of $\mathcal{H}_q$ at each $q \in U_p$. Since $\langle Y_i \mid \mathcal{A} \rangle = 0$, $\mathcal{A}$ is smooth on horizontal vector fields as well. Thus, $\mathcal{A} \in \Omega^1(\mathcal{P}_{/\mathcal{S}}, \mathfrak{g})$, and its evenness follows immediately from the grading of the basis.

Property (i) of a connection 1-form holds directly by definition. For property (ii) (right-equivariance), the right-invariance of $\mathcal{H}$ implies that we only need to check vertical vectors. For any $\tilde{X}_p \in \mathcal{V}_p$ with $X \in \mathrm{Lie}(\mathcal{G})$, applying Lemma 3.14 [Ed21] yields

$$\langle (\Phi_{\mathcal{S}})_{g*} \tilde{X}_p \mid \mathcal{A}_{p \cdot g} \rangle = \langle \widetilde{\mathrm{Ad}_{g^{-1}} X}_{p \cdot g} \mid \mathcal{A}_{p \cdot g} \rangle = \mathrm{Ad}_{g^{-1}} X = \mathrm{Ad}_{g^{-1}} \langle \tilde{X}_p \mid \mathcal{A}_p \rangle. \tag{5}$$

Hence, $\mathcal{A}$ defines a connection 1-form on $\mathcal{P}_{/\mathcal{S}}$.

(ii) Conversely, let $\mathcal{A} \in \Omega^1(\mathcal{P}_{/\mathcal{S}}, \mathfrak{g})_0$ be a connection 1-form on $\mathcal{P}_{/\mathcal{S}}$, and consider the bundle map

$$T(\mathcal{P}_{/\mathcal{S}}) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{P} \times \mathrm{Lie}(\mathcal{G}))_{/\mathcal{S}}, \qquad T_p(\mathcal{P}_{/\mathcal{S}}) \ni X_p \longmapsto \big(p, \langle X_p \mid \mathcal{A}_p \rangle\big), \tag{6}$$

mapping into the trivial $\mathcal{S}$-relative super vector bundle over $\mathcal{P}_{/\mathcal{S}}$. Identifying $T(\mathcal{P}_{/\mathcal{S}})$ with $(T\mathcal{P})_{/\mathcal{S}}$ via Remark 3.6 [Ed21], the map (6) is a smooth, even, and surjective morphism of $\mathcal{S}$-relative super vector bundles. By Lemma 3.8 [Ed21], its kernel $\mathcal{H}_p = \ker(\mathcal{A}_p)$ defines a smooth geometric distribution on $\mathcal{P}_{/\mathcal{S}}$.

Finally, to confirm that $\mathcal{H}$ is right-invariant, let $X_p \in \mathcal{H}_p = \ker(\mathcal{A}_p)$. Using the equivariance of $\mathcal{A}$, we compute

$$\langle (\Phi_{\mathcal{S}})_{g*} X_p \mid \mathcal{A}_{p \cdot g} \rangle = \mathrm{Ad}_{g^{-1}} \langle X_p \mid \mathcal{A}_p \rangle = 0, \tag{7}$$

which shows that $(\Phi_{\mathcal{S}})_{g*} X_p \in \mathcal{H}_{p \cdot g}$, completing the proof. □

Definition 3.5 (Covariant Derivative)**.** Let $\mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{P}_{/\mathcal{S}} \to \mathcal{M}_{/\mathcal{S}}$ be an $\mathcal{S}$-relative principal super fiber bundle, and let $\mathcal{A} \in \Omega^1(\mathcal{P}_{/\mathcal{S}}, \mathfrak{g})_0$ be a super connection 1-form on $\mathcal{P}_{/\mathcal{S}}$. Let $pr_h : T\mathcal{P}_{/\mathcal{S}} \to \mathscr{H} := \ker \mathcal{A}$ denote the projection onto the horizontal tangent modules induced by $\mathcal{A}$. The *covariant derivative induced by* $\mathcal{A}$ is the linear map $D^{(\mathcal{A})} : \Omega^k(\mathcal{P}_{/\mathcal{S}}, \mathcal{V}) \to \Omega^{k+1}(\mathcal{P}_{/\mathcal{S}}, \mathcal{V})$ defined by

$$\langle X_0, \dots, X_k \mid D^{(\mathcal{A})}\omega \rangle := \langle pr_h \circ X_0, \dots, pr_h \circ X_k \mid \mathrm{d}\omega \rangle \tag{8}$$

for all smooth vector fields $X_i \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathcal{P}_{/\mathcal{S}})$ $(i = 0, \dots, k)$.

Definition 3.6 (Horizontal Form of Type $(\mathcal{G}, \rho)$)**.** Let $\mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{P}_{/\mathcal{S}} \to \mathcal{M}_{/\mathcal{S}}$ be an $\mathcal{S}$-relative principal super fiber bundle equipped with a right $\mathcal{G}$-action $\Phi : \mathcal{P}_{/\mathcal{S}} \times \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{P}_{/\mathcal{S}}$, and let $\rho : \mathcal{G} \to \mathrm{GL}(\mathcal{V})$ be a representation of $\mathcal{G}$ on a super Λ-vector space $\mathcal{V}$. A $\mathcal{V}$-valued k-form ω on $\mathcal{P}_{/\mathcal{S}}$ is called *horizontal of type* $(\mathcal{G}, \rho)$, denoted $\omega \in \Omega^k_{hor}(\mathcal{P}_{/\mathcal{S}}, \mathcal{V})^{(\mathcal{G},\rho)}$, if it vanishes on all vertical tangent vectors and satisfies the equivariance condition

$$\Phi_g^* \omega = \rho(g)^{-1} \circ \omega \quad \text{for all } g \in \mathcal{G}. \tag{9}$$

Proposition 3.7 (Explicit Formula for the Covariant Derivative)**.** *Let $\mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{P}_{/\mathcal{S}} \to \mathcal{M}_{/\mathcal{S}}$ be an $\mathcal{S}$-relative principal super fiber bundle, and let $\mathcal{A} \in \Omega^1(\mathcal{P}_{/\mathcal{S}}, \mathfrak{g})_0$ be a super connection 1-form on $\mathcal{P}_{/\mathcal{S}}$. For any horizontal k-form $\omega \in \Omega^k_{hor}(\mathcal{P}_{/\mathcal{S}}, \mathcal{V})^{(\mathcal{G},\rho)}$ of type $(\mathcal{G}, \rho)$, the induced covariant derivative $D^{(\mathcal{A})}$ is given by*

$$D^{(\mathcal{A})}\omega = \mathrm{d}\omega + \rho_*(\mathcal{A}) \wedge \omega, \tag{10}$$

where the $(k+1)$-form $\rho_*(\mathcal{A}) \wedge \omega$ on $\mathcal{P}_{/\mathcal{S}}$ is defined via

$$\langle X_0, \dots, X_k \mid \rho_*(\mathcal{A}) \wedge \omega \rangle := \sum_{i=0}^{k} (-1)^{k-i+\sum_{l=0}^{i-1} |X_i||X_l|} \rho_*(\iota_{X_i}\mathcal{A}) \big(\langle X_0, \dots, \widehat{X}_i, \dots, X_k \mid \omega \rangle \big). \tag{11}$$

Consequently, $D^{(\mathcal{A})}\omega \in \Omega^{k+1}_{hor}(\mathcal{P}_{/\mathcal{S}}, \mathcal{V})^{(\mathcal{G},\rho)}$, meaning that $D^{(\mathcal{A})}$ restricts to a well-defined covariant derivative on the subspace of horizontal forms of type $(\mathcal{G}, \rho)$.

Proof. That $D^{(\mathcal{A})}\omega$ defines a horizontal form of type $(\mathcal{G}, \rho)$ if ω does, follows immediately from the right-invariance of the horizontal distribution which implies $\mathrm{pr}_h \circ (\Phi_{\mathcal{S}})_{g*} = (\Phi_{\mathcal{S}})_{g*} \circ \mathrm{pr}_h$ $\forall g \in \mathcal{G}$ where $\Phi_{\mathcal{S}} : \mathcal{P}_{/\mathcal{S}} \times \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{P}_{/\mathcal{S}}$ denotes the $\mathcal{G}$-right action on $\mathcal{P}_{/\mathcal{S}}$.

To prove 3.7, it is sufficient to show equality when evaluating 3.7 on smooth horizontal and vertical vector fields X_i, $i = 0, \dots, k$. Moreover, if X_i is vertical, it suffices to assume that it is a fundamental vector field $X_i = \tilde{Y}$ generated by some $Y \in \mathfrak{g}$. In case all X_i are vertical, then it is clear that both sides in 3.7 trivially vanish by horizontality. If all X_i are horizontal, then (3.37) [Ed21] simply vanishes as $\mathcal{A}$ vanishes on horizontal vector fields proving equality also in this case.

Next, assume that at least two vector fields are vertical. Therefore, note that if $\tilde{X}$ for some $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ is a fundamental vector field and Y^* is horizontal then $[\tilde{X}, Y^*]$ is also horizontal. In fact, according to Prop. 5.11 [Ed21], we have $L_{\tilde{X}}\mathcal{A} = -\mathrm{ad}_X \circ \mathcal{A}$ such that, following, this yields

$$\begin{aligned} \langle [\tilde{X}, Y^*] \mid \mathcal{A} \rangle &= \tilde{X} \langle Y^* \mid \mathcal{A} \rangle - (-1)^{|X||Y|} \langle Y^* \mid L_{\tilde{X}}\mathcal{A} \rangle \\ &= \mathrm{ad}_X \circ \langle Y^* \mid \mathcal{A} \rangle = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Hence, again, both sides in 3.7 vanish as ω is horizontal and $\mathcal{A}$ vanishes on horizontal vector fields.

It thus remains to consider the case where at most one vector field is vertical. Thus, suppose $\tilde{X}$ is a fundamental vector field generated by $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ and Y_i are horizontal $\forall i = 1, \dots, k$. By definition, the left hand side of 3.7 simply vanishes. On the other hand, following precisely the same steps as in the proof of Prop. 5.11 [Ed21], it follows that $L_{\tilde{X}}\omega = -\rho_*(X) \circ \omega$. This yields

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^k \langle \tilde{X}, Y_1, \dots, Y_k \mid d\omega \rangle &= (-1)^{\sum_{i=1}^{k} |X||Y_i|} \langle Y_1, \dots, Y_k \mid L_{\tilde{X}}\omega \rangle \\ &= -\rho_*(X)(\langle Y_1, \dots, Y_k \mid \omega \rangle) \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

where identity (3.7) [Ed21] was used. Moreover, using Definition (3.37) [Ed21], we get

$$\langle \tilde{X}, Y_1, \dots, Y_k \mid \rho_*(\mathcal{A}) \wedge \omega \rangle = (-1)^k \rho_*(\iota_{\tilde{X}} \mathcal{A})(\langle Y_1, \dots, Y_k \mid \omega \rangle) = \rho_*(X)(\langle Y_1, \dots, Y_k \mid \omega \rangle) \tag{14}$$

proving that the right hand side of 3.7 vanishes as well. □

Definition 3.8 (Graded Wedge-Bracket of Lie-Valued Forms)**.** Let $\mathcal{M}_{/\mathcal{S}}$ be an $\mathcal{S}$-relative supermanifold, and let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathrm{Lie}(\mathcal{G})$ be the super Lie module associated with a super Lie group $\mathcal{G}$, equipped with a homogeneous basis $(e_i)_i$. For a k-form $\alpha \in \Omega^k(\mathcal{M}_{/\mathcal{S}}, \mathfrak{g})$ and an l-form $\beta \in \Omega^l(\mathcal{M}_{/\mathcal{S}}, \mathfrak{g})$, expanded in the basis as $\alpha = \alpha^i \otimes e_i$ and $\beta = \beta^j \otimes e_j$, their graded bracket $[\alpha \wedge \beta] \in \Omega^{k+l}(\mathcal{M}_{/\mathcal{S}}, \mathfrak{g})$ is defined by

$$[\alpha \wedge \beta] := \alpha^i \wedge \mathfrak{C}^{|e_i|}(\beta^j) \otimes [e_i, e_j], \tag{15}$$

where $\mathfrak{C} : \mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{V}$ is the grading involution defined on any homogeneous element $v \in \mathcal{V}$ of parity $|v| \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ by $\mathfrak{C}(v) = (-1)^{|v|} v$.

Definition 3.9. Let $\mathcal{A} \in \Omega^1(\mathcal{P}_{/\mathcal{S}}, \mathfrak{g})_0$ be a super connection 1-form on an $\mathcal{S}$-relative principal super fiber bundle $\mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{P}_{/\mathcal{S}} \to \mathcal{M}_{/\mathcal{S}}$. The horizontal 2-form

$$F(\mathcal{A}) := D^{(\mathcal{A})}\mathcal{A} \in \Omega^2_{\mathrm{hor}}(\mathcal{P}_{/\mathcal{S}}, \mathfrak{g})^{(\mathcal{G}, \mathrm{Ad})} \tag{16}$$

is called the *curvature* of $\mathcal{A}$.

Proposition 3.10. *Let $\mathcal{A} \in \Omega^1(\mathcal{P}_{/\mathcal{S}}, \mathfrak{g})_0$ be a super connection 1-form on an $\mathcal{S}$-relative principal super fiber bundle $\mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{P}_{/\mathcal{S}} \to \mathcal{M}_{/\mathcal{S}}$. Then:*

(i) $F(\mathcal{A}) = \mathrm{d}\mathcal{A} + [\mathcal{A} \wedge \mathcal{A}]$,

(ii) $D^{(\mathcal{A})}F(\mathcal{A}) = 0$ (Bianchi identity).

Proof. This follows from 3.7. □

4 Parallel Transport

In this section we derive the parallel transport map with corresponds to superconnection forms. For this we consider the generalization to the relative category which allows for fermonic degrees on freedom on the supermanifold. Let $\mathcal{M} = (M_0, \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{M})$ be a supermanifold in the sense of Berezin–Kostant–Leites, and let $\mathcal{S} = (S_0, \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{S})$ be an auxiliary parameter supermanifold. A morphism $\varphi\colon \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{N}$ consists of a smooth map $\varphi_0\colon M_0 \to N_0$ between the underlying topological spaces together with a generalized pullback morphism of sheaves $\varphi^\#\colon \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{N} \to (\varphi_0)_*\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{M}$.

Definition 4.1 (S-Points and Smooth S-Paths)**.** An $\mathcal{S}$-*point* of $\mathcal{M}$ is a supermanifold morphism $x = (x_0, x^\#)\colon \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{M}$. A (smooth) $\mathcal{S}$-*path* γ connecting two $\mathcal{S}$-points x and y is a morphism

$$\gamma = (\gamma_0, \gamma^\#)\colon \mathcal{S} \times [0,1] \to \mathcal{M}$$

satisfying the boundary conditions

$$\mathrm{ev}\big|_{t=0}\gamma^\# = x^\#, \qquad \mathrm{ev}\big|_{t=1}\gamma^\# = y^\#.$$

By a slight abuse of notation, we denote such a path by $\gamma\colon x \to y$. If $x = y$, the $\mathcal{S}$-path γ is called *closed* (or an $\mathcal{S}$-*loop*).

In what follows, we restrict our attention to purely odd parameter spaces (superpoints) of the form

$$\mathcal{S} = \mathbb{R}^{0|L} = \big(\{0\}, \bigwedge \mathbb{R}^L\big), \qquad \bigwedge \mathbb{R}^L = \langle \eta^1, \dots, \eta^L \rangle, \quad L \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{17}$$

While most results extend naturally to arbitrary parameter supermanifolds $\mathcal{S}$, restricting to Grassmann algebras suffices to capture the full supergeometric structure of parallel transport and holonomy. Indeed, within the Molotkov–Sachse functorial framework, the internal Hom object $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ in the category of supermanifolds **SMan** is completely determined by its $\bigwedge \mathbb{R}^L$-points:

$$\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})\Big(\bigwedge \mathbb{R}^L\Big) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{SMan}}\Big(\mathbb{R}^{0|L} \times \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}\Big),$$

Definition 4.2 (Concatenation and Inversion of $\mathcal{S}$-Paths)**.** Let $x, y, z \colon \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{M}$ be $\mathcal{S}$-points, and let $\gamma \colon x \to y$ and $\delta \colon y \to z$ be $\mathcal{S}$-paths. For any fixed $t_0 \in [0,1]$, the *concatenation* $\delta * \gamma \colon x \to z$ is prescribed via its pullback by

$$\mathrm{ev}\big|_{t=t_0}(\delta * \gamma)^{\#} := \begin{cases} \mathrm{ev}\big|_{t=2t_0}\gamma^{\#}, & t_0 \in \big[0, \frac{1}{2}\big], \\ \mathrm{ev}\big|_{t=2(t_0-\frac{1}{2})}\delta^{\#}, & t_0 \in \big[\frac{1}{2}, 1\big]. \end{cases}$$

At $t_0 = 0, \frac{1}{2}, 1$, this evaluation recovers the $\mathcal{S}$-points x, y, z, respectively. Similarly, the *inverse* $\mathcal{S}$-path $\gamma^{-1} \colon y \to x$ is defined by

$$\mathrm{ev}\big|_{t=t_0}(\gamma^{-1})^{\#} := \mathrm{ev}\big|_{t=1-t_0}\gamma^{\#}.$$

Varying t_0 over $[0,1]$ yields well-defined $\mathcal{S}$-paths $\delta * \gamma$ and γ^{-1}, though the concatenation $\delta * \gamma$ is in general only piecewise smooth.

Definition 4.3. Let $x, y \colon S \to M$ be S-points of a supermanifold M. A *piecewise smooth S-path* $\gamma \colon x \to y$ connecting x and y is a finite tuple of morphisms

$$\gamma = \big(\gamma_j \colon S \times [t_j, t_{j+1}] \to M\big)_{j=0}^{l}$$

associated with a partition $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_{l+1} = 1$ of the unit interval $[0,1]$, satisfying the following compatibility conditions:

1. **Continuity at interior nodes:** For each $j \in \{0, \dots, l-1\}$, the adjacent segments agree at their shared boundary:
$$\mathrm{ev}\big|_{t=t_{j+1}}\gamma_j^{\#} = \mathrm{ev}\big|_{t=t_{j+1}}\gamma_{j+1}^{\#}.$$
2. **Endpoint conditions:** The path starts at x and terminates at y:
$$\mathrm{ev}\big|_{t=0}\gamma_0^{\#} = x^{\#} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathrm{ev}\big|_{t=1}\gamma_l^{\#} = y^{\#}.$$

The *concatenation* $\delta \star \gamma$ and the *inverse* γ^{-1} of piecewise smooth S-paths $\gamma \colon x \to y$ and $\delta \colon y \to z$ are defined analogously to the smooth case by rescaling and subdividing their respective partitions of $[0,1]$. By construction, the underlying topological maps recover the classical operations on the reduced manifold M_0, namely

$$(\delta \star \gamma)_0 = \delta_0 \star \gamma_0 \qquad \text{and} \qquad (\gamma^{-1})_0 = (\gamma_0)^{-1}.$$

Example 4.4 (Piecewise Smooth $\mathcal{S}$-Path on $\mathbb{R}^{1|2}$)**.** Let $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{R}^{1|2}$ with global coordinates (x, θ^1, θ^2), where x is even and θ^1, θ^2 are odd. Take the parameter superpoint $\mathcal{S} = \mathbb{R}^{0|2} = \big(\{0\}, \bigwedge \mathbb{R}^2\big)$ with odd generators η^1, η^2.

Consider the partition $0 = t_0 < t_1 = \frac{1}{2} < t_2 = 1$ of $[0,1]$ (so $l = 1$). We define a piecewise smooth $\mathcal{S}$-path $\gamma = (\gamma_0, \gamma_1)$ from $x_0 = (0,0,0)$ to $y_0 = (1, \eta^1, \eta^2)$ by specifying the pullbacks of the coordinate functions on each subinterval:

$$\gamma_0^{\#}(x) = 2t, \qquad \gamma_0^{\#}(\theta^1) = 2t\,\eta^1, \qquad \gamma_0^{\#}(\theta^2) = 0, \qquad t \in \big[0, \tfrac{1}{2}\big],$$

$$\gamma_1^{\#}(x) = 1, \qquad \gamma_1^{\#}(\theta^1) = \eta^1, \qquad \gamma_1^{\#}(\theta^2) = (2t-1)\eta^2, \qquad t \in \big[\tfrac{1}{2}, 1\big].$$

We verify the compatibility conditions of Definition 4.3:

1. **Continuity at the interior node $t_1 = \frac{1}{2}$:** Evaluating both segments at $t = \frac{1}{2}$ yields the same $\mathcal{S}$-point $(1, \eta^1, 0)$:

$$\mathrm{ev}\big|_{t=\frac{1}{2}} \gamma_0^{\#}(x, \theta^1, \theta^2) = (1, \eta^1, 0) = \mathrm{ev}\big|_{t=\frac{1}{2}} \gamma_1^{\#}(x, \theta^1, \theta^2).$$

2. **Endpoint conditions:** At the boundaries $t = 0$ and $t = 1$, we recover the initial and terminal $\mathcal{S}$-points:

$$\mathrm{ev}\big|_{t=0} \gamma_0^{\#}(x, \theta^1, \theta^2) = (0, 0, 0) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathrm{ev}\big|_{t=1} \gamma_1^{\#}(x, \theta^1, \theta^2) = (1, \eta^1, \eta^2).$$

Notice that γ fails to be globally smooth at $t = \frac{1}{2}$ because the derivatives with respect to t do not match across the node (for instance, $\partial_t \gamma_0^{\#}(x) = 2 \neq 0 = \partial_t \gamma_1^{\#}(x)$), while its underlying topological path $\gamma_0 \colon [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$ is simply the broken line moving from 0 to 1 on $[0, \frac{1}{2}]$ and remaining stationary at 1 on $[\frac{1}{2}, 1]$.

Definition 4.5 (Parallel Section)**.** Let ∇ be a connection on a super vector bundle $\mathscr{E} \to \mathcal{M}$, and let $\gamma = (\gamma_j)_{j=0}^{l} \colon x \to y$ be a piecewise smooth $\mathcal{S}$-path in $\mathcal{M}$. A piecewise smooth section $X = (X_j)_{j=0}^{l}$ of the pullback bundle $\gamma^* \mathscr{E}$ (satisfying $X_j|_{t=t_{j+1}} = X_{j+1}|_{t=t_{j+1}}$ at all interior nodes) is called *parallel* along γ if

$$(\gamma_j^* \nabla)_{\partial_t} X_j = 0 \qquad \text{for each } j \in \{0, \ldots, l\}.$$

Lemma 4.6. *Let $X_x \in x^* \mathscr{E}$ be a section along an $\mathcal{S}$-point $x \colon \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{M}$, and let γ be a piecewise smooth $\mathcal{S}$-path satisfying $\mathrm{ev}|_{t=0} \gamma^{\#} = x^{\#}$. Then there exists a unique parallel section $X \in \gamma^* \mathscr{E}$ along γ such that $\mathrm{ev}|_{t=0} X = X_x$.*

Definition 4.7. Let $\gamma \colon x \to y$ be a smooth $\mathcal{S}$-path and let $X_x \in x^* \mathscr{E}$ be a section along $x \colon \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{M}$. We define the *parallel transport*

$$P_\gamma \colon x^* \mathscr{E} \to y^* \mathscr{E}, \qquad P_\gamma(X_x) := \mathrm{ev}|_{t=1} X,$$

where $X \in \gamma^* \mathscr{E}$ denotes the unique parallel section satisfying $\mathrm{ev}|_{t=0} X = X_x$. For piecewise smooth $\mathcal{S}$-paths $\gamma \colon x \to y$ and $\delta \colon y \to z$, we define the parallel transport of their concatenation by $P_{\delta \star \gamma} := P_\delta \circ P_\gamma$. When $\delta \star \gamma$ is itself smooth, this definition agrees with the one given above by virtue of the following lemma.

Lemma 4.8. *Let $a < b < c$ and let $\gamma \colon \mathcal{S} \times [a, c] \to \mathcal{M}$ be a smooth $\mathcal{S}$-path. Then*

$$P_{\gamma|_{\mathcal{S} \times [b,c]}} \circ P_{\gamma|_{\mathcal{S} \times [a,b]}} = P_\gamma.$$

Proof. Let $X_x \in x^* \mathscr{E}$. Define a section $X \in \gamma^* \mathscr{E}$ piecewise by setting

$$X(t) := P_{\gamma|_{\mathcal{S} \times [a,t]}}(X_x) \quad (t \in [a, b]), \qquad X(t) := P_{\gamma|_{\mathcal{S} \times [b,t]}} \circ P_{\gamma|_{\mathcal{S} \times [a,b]}}(X_x) \quad (t \in [b, c]).$$

Then $X(t)$ satisfies $(\gamma^* \nabla)_{\partial_t} X = 0$ for all $t \in [a, c]$ and obeys the initial condition $\mathrm{ev}|_{t=a} X = X_x$. By uniqueness of the solution, it follows that $X(t) = P_{\gamma|_{\mathcal{S} \times [a,t]}}(X_x)$. □

Lemma 4.9. *The parallel transport map P_γ is even (i.e., parity-preserving), $\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{S}$-superlinear, and invertible with inverse $(P_\gamma)^{-1} = P_{\gamma^{-1}}$.*

Proof. This follows from standard ODE arguments. Parallel transport is even because the coefficient matrix $\mathcal{B}(t)$ in Example 2.11 [Gr14] is even, and $\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{S}$-superlinearity is immediate from the linearity of the same differential equation. Finally, P_γ is invertible because P_γ and $P_{\gamma^{-1}}$ satisfy the same differential equation Example 2.11 [Gr14] parametrized at t and $1 - t$, respectively. □

By restriction, an $\mathcal{S}$-relative connection ∇ on $\mathscr{E}_{\mathcal{S}}$ induces a connection $\nabla^{\mathscr{E}}\colon \mathscr{E} \to \Omega^1(\mathcal{M}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}} \mathscr{E}$. Restricting further yields a classical connection $\nabla^0\colon \Gamma(E) \to \Omega^1(M_0) \otimes_{C^\infty(M_0)} \Gamma(E)$ on the underlying vector bundle $E := \bigcup_{x \in M_0} \mathscr{E}_x \to M_0$ (denoted $\tilde{\nabla}$ in [Gr14]). Let $P_{\gamma_0}\colon E_{\gamma_0(0)} \to E_{\gamma_0(1)}$ denote parallel transport along the reduced path $\gamma_0\colon [0,1] \to M_0$ (denoted τ_γ in [Gr14]), and let $(P_\gamma)^0\colon E_{\gamma_0(0)} \to E_{\gamma_0(1)}$ denote the restriction of ∇-parallel transport along $\gamma\colon \mathcal{S} \times [0,1] \to \mathcal{M}$.

Lemma 4.10. *Let $\gamma\colon x \to y$ be an $\mathcal{S}$-path. Then $(P_\gamma)^0 = P_{\gamma_0}$.*

Proof. This follows directly from (4.5). Whenever ξ^l is an odd coordinate, the derivative $\partial_t\big(\gamma^\#(\xi^l)\big)$ is odd and therefore vanishes upon projection to the reduced manifold M_0. Consequently, only even indices l survive in $\gamma^\#(\Gamma^m_{lk})$. □

Definition 4.11 (Curvature and Flatness)**.** Let $\nabla\colon \mathscr{E} \to \Omega^1(\mathcal{M}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}} \mathscr{E}$ be an even connection on a super vector bundle $\mathscr{E} \to \mathcal{M}$, and let $\nabla\colon \Omega^1(\mathcal{M}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}} \mathscr{E} \to \Omega^2(\mathcal{M}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}} \mathscr{E}$ denote its canonical exterior covariant extension satisfying the graded Leibniz rule

$$\nabla(\alpha \otimes s) = d\alpha \otimes s + (-1)^{|\alpha|}\alpha \wedge \nabla s$$

for homogeneous $\alpha \in \Omega^1(\mathcal{M})$ and $s \in \mathscr{E}$. The *curvature* of ∇ is the even $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}$-superlinear map

$$F^\nabla := \nabla \circ \nabla\colon \mathscr{E} \to \Omega^2(\mathcal{M}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}} \mathscr{E},$$

equivalently viewed as an even global section $F^\nabla \in \Omega^2(\mathcal{M}; \underline{\mathrm{End}}(\mathscr{E}))_0$. The connection ∇ is called *flat* if $F^\nabla = 0$.

5 Monodromy

Let $\nabla\colon \mathscr{E} \to \Omega^1(\mathcal{M}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}} \mathscr{E}$ be an even flat connection (i.e., $F^\nabla = 0$) on a super vector bundle $\mathscr{E} \to \mathcal{M}$, and fix a parameter superpoint $\mathcal{S} = \mathbb{R}^{0|L}$.

Definition 5.1 ($\mathcal{S}$-Loop Group and Based Homotopy)**.** Let $x\colon \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{M}$ be an $\mathcal{S}$-point. A piecewise smooth $\mathcal{S}$-path $\gamma\colon x \to x$ starting and terminating at x is called a *piecewise smooth $\mathcal{S}$-loop based at x*.

Two piecewise smooth $\mathcal{S}$-loops $\gamma_0, \gamma_1\colon x \to x$ are *$\mathcal{S}$-homotopic relative to x* (written $\gamma_0 \simeq_x \gamma_1$) if there exists a piecewise smooth morphism $H\colon \mathcal{S} \times [0,1] \times [0,1] \to \mathcal{M}$ such that:

1. $H|_{\mathcal{S}\times[0,1]\times\{0\}} = \gamma_0$ and $H|_{\mathcal{S}\times[0,1]\times\{1\}} = \gamma_1$,
2. $H|_{\mathcal{S}\times\{0\}\times\{s\}} = H|_{\mathcal{S}\times\{1\}\times\{s\}} = x$ for all $s \in [0,1]$.

Under concatenation $\star$ and inversion $\gamma \mapsto \gamma^{-1}$, the set of relative $\mathcal{S}$-homotopy classes forms the *$\mathcal{S}$-fundamental group* $\pi_1^{\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{M}, x) := \{\text{piecewise smooth } \mathcal{S}\text{-loops } \gamma\colon x \to x\}/\simeq_x$.

Because ∇ is flat, parallel transport along piecewise smooth $\mathcal{S}$-paths depends only on their relative $\mathcal{S}$-homotopy class. Using Lemma 4.8 and Lemma 4.9, this yields a well-defined group homomorphism for every $\mathcal{S}$-point.

Theorem 5.2 (Existence of Local Parallel Frames)**.** *Let $\nabla\colon \mathscr{E} \to \Omega^1(\mathcal{M}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}} \mathscr{E}$ be an even connection on a super vector bundle $\mathscr{E} \to \mathcal{M}$ of rank $(p|q)$. Then ∇ is flat ($F^\nabla = 0$) if and only if every point of the underlying manifold M_0 admits an open neighborhood $U_0 \subseteq M_0$ over which $\mathscr{E}|_{\mathcal{U}}$ admits a local homogeneous frame $(e_1, \dots, e_p, f_1, \dots, f_q)$ of ∇-parallel sections (i.e., $\nabla e_i = 0$ and $\nabla f_j = 0$ for all i, j).*

Proof. Firstly, suppose ∇ is flat ($F^\nabla = 0$). Fix a point $x_0 \in M_0$ and choose a contractible open neighborhood $U_0 \subseteq M_0$ around x_0 supporting a supermanifold chart $\mathcal{U}$ with local coordinates $(x^1, \dots, x^m \mid \theta^1, \dots, \theta^n)$ over which $\mathscr{E}|_{\mathcal{U}}$ admits a local homogeneous frame $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_p, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_q)$, where s_i are even and σ_j are odd.

We seek an even invertible gauge transformation $g \in \mathrm{GL}_{p|q}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{U}))_0$ such that the transformed homogeneous frame $\mathbf{e} = (e_1, \dots, e_p, f_1, \dots, f_q) := \mathbf{s} \cdot g$ satisfies $\nabla e_i = 0$ and $\nabla f_j = 0$ for all i, j, or equivalently,

$$\nabla_{\partial_{x^a}} \mathbf{e} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla_{\partial_{\theta^\mu}} \mathbf{e} = 0 \qquad \text{for all } 1 \le a \le m,\ 1 \le \mu \le n.$$

In the initial frame $\mathbf{s}$, write $\nabla = d + A$, where $A = \sum_{a=1}^{m} A_a\, dx^a + \sum_{\mu=1}^{n} B_\mu\, d\theta^\mu$ is an even matrix-valued 1-form with A_a even and B_μ odd. Since $F^\nabla = 0$, the covariant derivatives supercommute:

$$[\nabla_{\partial_{x^a}}, \nabla_{\partial_{x^b}}] = 0, \qquad [\nabla_{\partial_{x^a}}, \nabla_{\partial_{\theta^\mu}}] = 0, \qquad [\nabla_{\partial_{\theta^\mu}}, \nabla_{\partial_{\theta^\nu}}]_+ = 0.$$

We construct the parallel frame $\mathbf{e}$ in two steps:

1. *Elimination along odd coordinates:* Because $\nabla^2_{\partial_{\theta^1}} = \frac{1}{2}[\nabla_{\partial_{\theta^1}}, \nabla_{\partial_{\theta^1}}]_+ = 0$ and $(\theta^1)^2 = 0$, applying the even invertible gauge transformation $g_1 = I - \theta^1 B_1|_{\theta^1 = 0}$ sets the ∂_{θ^1}-connection coefficient to zero. Proceeding inductively for $\mu = 1, \dots, n$ via $g_\mu = I - \theta^\mu B_\mu^{(\mu-1)}|_{\theta^\mu = 0}$ yields an intermediate homogeneous frame $\tilde{\mathbf{s}} = \mathbf{s} \cdot g_1 \cdots g_n$ satisfying $\nabla_{\partial_{\theta^\mu}} \tilde{\mathbf{s}} = 0$ for all $\mu = 1, \dots, n$. In this frame, the remaining connection coefficients $\tilde{A}_a$ satisfy $\partial_{\theta^\mu} \tilde{A}_a = 0$ (as $[\nabla_{\partial_{\theta^\mu}}, \nabla_{\partial_{x^a}}] = 0$) and thus depend solely on the even coordinates $(x^1, \dots, x^m)$.

2. *Elimination along even coordinates:* The residual flatness condition $[\nabla_{\partial_{x^a}}, \nabla_{\partial_{x^b}}] = 0$ reduces to the classical zero-curvature equation $\partial_{x^a} \tilde{A}_b - \partial_{x^b} \tilde{A}_a + [\tilde{A}_a, \tilde{A}_b] = 0$ on the contractible open set U_0. By the standard local trivialization theorem for flat connections on contractible manifolds (or by parallel transport along radial paths from x_0), there exists an even invertible matrix-valued function $g_0(x^1, \dots, x^m)$ on U_0 solving $\partial_{x^a} g_0 + \tilde{A}_a g_0 = 0$.

Setting $\mathbf{e} := \tilde{\mathbf{s}} \cdot g_0$ produces a local homogeneous frame $(e_1, \dots, e_p, f_1, \dots, f_q)$ over $\mathcal{U}$ satisfying $\nabla_{\partial_{\theta^\mu}} \mathbf{e} = 0$ and $\nabla_{\partial_{x^a}} \mathbf{e} = 0$ for all μ, a, and hence $\nabla e_i = 0$ and $\nabla f_j = 0$ for all i, j.

Lastly, to prove the converse, assume that every point of the underlying manifold M_0 admits an open neighborhood $U_0 \subseteq M_0$ over which $\mathscr{E}|_{\mathcal{U}}$ admits a local homogeneous frame $(e_1, \dots, e_p, f_1, \dots, f_q)$ of ∇-parallel sections (i.e., $\nabla e_i = 0$ and $\nabla f_j = 0$ for all i, j). Since every point admits an open neighborhood over which a local homogeneous frame is annihilated by ∇, and the curvature F^∇ is $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}$-superlinear (tensorial), F^∇ vanishes on each local frame and therefore ∇ is flat ($F^\nabla = 0$) on all of $\mathcal{M}$.

□

Proposition 5.3 (𝒮-Homotopy Invariance of Parallel Transport)**.** *Let $\nabla\colon \mathscr{E} \to \Omega^1(\mathcal{M}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}} \mathscr{E}$ be an even flat connection (i.e., $F^\nabla = 0$) on a super vector bundle $\mathscr{E} \to \mathcal{M}$. If $\gamma_0, \gamma_1\colon x \to y$ are piecewise smooth 𝒮-paths that are 𝒮-homotopic relative to their endpoints, then*

$$P_{\gamma_0} = P_{\gamma_1}\colon x^*\mathscr{E} \longrightarrow y^*\mathscr{E}.$$

Proof. Let $H\colon \mathcal{S} \times [0,1] \times [0,1] \to \mathcal{M}$ be a piecewise smooth 𝒮-homotopy relative to the endpoints $x, y\colon \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{M}$, so that $H|_{\mathcal{S}\times[0,1]\times\{0\}} = \gamma_0$, $H|_{\mathcal{S}\times[0,1]\times\{1\}} = \gamma_1$, and $H|_{\mathcal{S}\times\{0\}\times\{s\}} = x$, $H|_{\mathcal{S}\times\{1\}\times\{s\}} = y$ for all $s \in [0,1]$.

By Theorem 5.2, every point of the reduced manifold M_0 admits an open neighborhood $U_0 \subseteq M_0$ over which $\mathscr{E}|_{\mathcal{U}}$ admits a local homogeneous frame $\mathbf{e} = (e_1, \dots, e_p, f_1, \dots, f_q)$ of ∇-parallel sections ($\nabla e_i = 0$ and $\nabla f_j = 0$). Since the underlying continuous map $H_0\colon [0,1]^2 \to M_0$ has compact image, the Lebesgue number lemma yields partitions

$$0 = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_N = 1 \qquad \text{and} \qquad 0 = s_0 < s_1 < \cdots < s_K = 1$$

refining the smoothness grid of H such that for every elementary rectangle $R_{i,j} := [t_i, t_{i+1}] \times [s_j, s_{j+1}]$, the restriction $H|_{\mathcal{S}\times R_{i,j}}$ is smooth and $H_0(R_{i,j})$ is contained entirely within a single such open neighborhood $U_0 \subseteq M_0$.

First, observe that within any open subsupermanifold $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ admitting a ∇-parallel homogeneous frame $\mathbf{e} = (e_1, \dots, e_p, f_1, \dots, f_q)$, the connection 1-form vanishes identically. Consequently, for any smooth $\mathcal{S}$-path $\alpha\colon z_0 \to z_1$ factoring through $\mathcal{U}$ and any coefficients $c^i \in (\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{S})_0$, $d^j \in (\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{S})_1$, the pullback section

$$X(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{p} c^i (e_i \circ \alpha) + \sum_{j=1}^{q} d^j (f_j \circ \alpha) \in \alpha^* \mathscr{E}$$

satisfies $(\alpha^*\nabla)_{\partial_t} X = 0$ and is therefore the unique parallel section along α starting at $\sum_i c^i(e_i \circ z_0) + \sum_j d^j(f_j \circ z_0)$. In particular,

$$P_\alpha(e_i \circ z_0) = e_i \circ z_1 \qquad \text{and} \qquad P_\alpha(f_j \circ z_0) = f_j \circ z_1,$$

so P_α depends only on the endpoints z_0, z_1 and not on the choice of path α inside $\mathcal{U}$.

Now consider an elementary rectangle $R_{i,j} = [t_i, t_{i+1}] \times [s_j, s_{j+1}]$ mapped into a single parallel-frame neighborhood $\mathcal{U}$. Denote its four boundary $\mathcal{S}$-paths by:

$$\alpha_{\text{bot}} := H|_{\mathcal{S} \times [t_i, t_{i+1}] \times \{s_j\}}, \qquad \alpha_{\text{top}} := H|_{\mathcal{S} \times [t_i, t_{i+1}] \times \{s_{j+1}\}},$$
$$\beta_{\text{left}} := H|_{\mathcal{S} \times \{t_i\} \times [s_j, s_{j+1}]}, \qquad \beta_{\text{right}} := H|_{\mathcal{S} \times \{t_{i+1}\} \times [s_j, s_{j+1}]}.$$

Both $\beta_{\text{right}} \star \alpha_{\text{bot}}$ and $\alpha_{\text{top}} \star \beta_{\text{left}}$ are piecewise smooth $\mathcal{S}$-paths inside $\mathcal{U}$ sharing the same initial $\mathcal{S}$-point $H|_{\mathcal{S} \times \{(t_i, s_j)\}}$ and terminal $\mathcal{S}$-point $H|_{\mathcal{S} \times \{(t_{i+1}, s_{j+1})\}}$. By local path-independence in $\mathcal{U}$ and Lemma 4.8, we have

$$P_{\beta_{\text{right}}} \circ P_{\alpha_{\text{bot}}} = P_{\alpha_{\text{top}}} \circ P_{\beta_{\text{left}}}. \tag{18}$$

For each $j \in \{0, \dots, K\}$, let $\eta_j := H|_{\mathcal{S} \times [0,1] \times \{s_j\}}\colon x \to y$ be the j-th horizontal slice, so $\eta_0 = \gamma_0$ and $\eta_K = \gamma_1$. For fixed j, set $\alpha_i := H|_{\mathcal{S} \times [t_i, t_{i+1}] \times \{s_j\}}$, $\tilde{\alpha}_i := H|_{\mathcal{S} \times [t_i, t_{i+1}] \times \{s_{j+1}\}}$, and $\beta_i := H|_{\mathcal{S} \times \{t_i\} \times [s_j, s_{j+1}]}$. Because H fixes the endpoints for all s, the vertical boundary paths β_0 and β_N are the constant $\mathcal{S}$-paths at x and y, respectively, whence $P_{\beta_0} = \mathrm{id}_{x^*\mathscr{E}}$ and $P_{\beta_N} = \mathrm{id}_{y^*\mathscr{E}}$. Applying Lemma 4.8 iteratively along the partition $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_N = 1$ together with (18) yields

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\eta_j} &= P_{\alpha_{N-1}} \circ \cdots \circ P_{\alpha_1} \circ P_{\alpha_0} \\ &= P_{\beta_N} \circ P_{\alpha_{N-1}} \circ \cdots \circ P_{\alpha_1} \circ P_{\alpha_0} \\ &= P_{\tilde{\alpha}_{N-1}} \circ P_{\beta_{N-1}} \circ \cdots \circ P_{\alpha_1} \circ P_{\alpha_0} \\ &\;\;\vdots \\ &= P_{\tilde{\alpha}_{N-1}} \circ \cdots \circ P_{\tilde{\alpha}_1} \circ P_{\tilde{\alpha}_0} \circ P_{\beta_0} = P_{\eta_{j+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

By induction on $j = 0, \dots, K-1$, we conclude that $P_{\gamma_0} = P_{\eta_0} = P_{\eta_K} = P_{\gamma_1}$.

□

Definition 5.4 (Monodromy Representation)**.** Let ∇ be an even flat connection on $\mathscr{E} \to \mathcal{M}$, and let $x\colon \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{M}$ be an $\mathcal{S}$-point. The $\mathcal{S}$-*monodromy representation* of ∇ based at x is the group homomorphism

$$\mathrm{Mon}_x^{\mathcal{S}}(\nabla)\colon \pi_1^{\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{M}, x) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{S}, 0}(x^*\mathscr{E}), \qquad [\gamma] \longmapsto P_\gamma,$$

where $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{S}, 0}(x^*\mathscr{E})$ denotes the group of even (parity-preserving) $\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{S}$-superlinear automorphisms of the pullback module $x^*\mathscr{E}$.

Theorem 5.5 (Topological Reduction of $\pi_1^{\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{M}, x)$)**.** *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a supermanifold with reduced manifold M_0, let $\mathcal{S} = \mathbb{R}^{0|L}$ be a superpoint, and let $x\colon \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{M}$ be an $\mathcal{S}$-point with underlying classical basepoint $x_0 \in M_0$. The body projection $\gamma \mapsto \gamma_0$ induces a canonical group isomorphism*

$$\Phi_\mathcal{S}\colon \pi_1^{\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{M}, x) \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi_1(M_0, x_0), \qquad [\gamma] \longmapsto [\gamma_0],$$

which is natural in $\mathcal{S}$.

Proof. First, observe that $\Phi_{\mathcal{S}}$ is a well-defined group homomorphism: if γ_0, γ_1 are piecewise smooth $\mathcal{S}$-paths that are $\mathcal{S}$-homotopic relative to x via $H\colon \mathcal{S}\times[0,1]^2 \to \mathcal{M}$, then the underlying topological map $H_0\colon [0,1]^2 \to M_0$ is a classical continuous homotopy relative to x_0 between $(\gamma_0)_0$ and $(\gamma_1)_0$. Moreover, $(\gamma_1 \star \gamma_2)_0 = (\gamma_1)_0 \star (\gamma_2)_0$ and $(c_x)_0 = c_{x_0}$, so $\Phi_{\mathcal{S}}$ preserves concatenation and identities.

To prove that $\Phi_{\mathcal{S}}$ is an isomorphism, invoke Batchelor's theorem to choose an isomorphism of smooth supermanifolds $\mathcal{M} \cong \Pi V$ for some smooth vector bundle $\pi\colon V \to M_0$ over the reduced manifold. Under this identification, let $\iota\colon M_0 \hookrightarrow \Pi V$ denote the zero section and $\pi\colon \Pi V \to M_0$ the bundle projection, so that $\pi \circ \iota = \mathrm{id}_{M_0}$ and the body of any morphism $f\colon \mathcal{T} \to \Pi V$ coincides with $\pi \circ f_0$. Because the transition functions of ΠV are linear in the odd fiber coordinates, the fiberwise scaling map

$$r\colon [0,1]\times \Pi V \longrightarrow \Pi V, \qquad (s, x^a, \theta^\mu) \longmapsto (x^a, (1-s)\theta^\mu),$$

is globally well-defined and smooth, satisfying $r(0,-) = \mathrm{id}_{\Pi V}$ and $r(1,-) = \iota \circ \pi$.

Let $p_{\mathcal{S}}\colon \mathcal{S} \to \mathbb{R}^{0|0}$ be the terminal morphism, and consider the auxiliary $\mathcal{S}$-point $\tilde{x}_0 := \iota \circ x_0 \circ p_{\mathcal{S}}\colon \mathcal{S} \to \Pi V$, which has the same underlying classical point $x_0 \in M_0$ as x. Define a piecewise smooth $\mathcal{S}$-path $\delta\colon x \to \tilde{x}_0$ by

$$\delta\colon \mathcal{S}\times[0,1] \longrightarrow \Pi V, \qquad (s,t) \longmapsto r(t, x(s)).$$

Then δ is a smooth $\mathcal{S}$-path from $\delta|_{\mathcal{S}\times\{0\}} = x$ to $\delta|_{\mathcal{S}\times\{1\}} = \iota \circ \pi \circ x = \tilde{x}_0$ whose underlying classical path is the constant path $\delta_0 = c_{x_0}$.

We construct the inverse $\Psi_{\mathcal{S}}\colon \pi_1(M_0, x_0) \to \pi_1^{\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{M}, x)$ explicitly. For any classical piecewise smooth loop $\sigma\colon [0,1] \to M_0$ based at x_0, the lift $\tilde{\sigma} := \iota \circ \sigma \circ p_{\mathcal{S}}$ is a piecewise smooth $\mathcal{S}$-loop based at $\tilde{x}_0$ with body $\tilde{\sigma}_0 = \sigma$. Thus $\delta \star \tilde{\sigma} \star \delta^{-1}$ is a piecewise smooth $\mathcal{S}$-loop based at x. Since lifting commutes with classical endpoint-preserving homotopies, the assignment

$$\Psi_{\mathcal{S}}([\sigma]) := \left[\delta \star (\iota \circ \sigma \circ p_{\mathcal{S}}) \star \delta^{-1}\right]$$

is well-defined.

- *Right inverse ($\Phi_{\mathcal{S}} \circ \Psi_{\mathcal{S}} = \mathrm{id}$):* For any $[\sigma] \in \pi_1(M_0, x_0)$, we have

  $$\Phi_{\mathcal{S}}(\Psi_{\mathcal{S}}([\sigma])) = [\delta_0 \star \tilde{\sigma}_0 \star \delta_0^{-1}] = [c_{x_0} \star \sigma \star c_{x_0}] = [\sigma].$$

- *Left inverse ($\Psi_{\mathcal{S}} \circ \Phi_{\mathcal{S}} = \mathrm{id}$):* Let $\gamma\colon x \to x$ be a piecewise smooth $\mathcal{S}$-loop, and consider the smooth map

  $$H\colon \mathcal{S}\times[0,1]\times[0,1] \longrightarrow \Pi V, \qquad H(s,t,u) := r(u, \gamma(s,t)).$$

  For $u = 0$ we obtain $H|_{\mathcal{S}\times[0,1]\times\{0\}} = \gamma$, and for $u = 1$ we obtain $H|_{\mathcal{S}\times[0,1]\times\{1\}} = \iota\circ\pi\circ\gamma = \iota\circ\gamma_0\circ p_{\mathcal{S}}$. Along the endpoints $t = 0$ and $t = 1$, we have

  $$H|_{\mathcal{S}\times\{0\}\times[0,1]}(s,u) = r(u, x(s)) = \delta(s,u) = H|_{\mathcal{S}\times\{1\}\times[0,1]}(s,u).$$

  Hence H provides a piecewise smooth free $\mathcal{S}$-homotopy sweeping the endpoints along δ, which implies that γ is $\mathcal{S}$-homotopic relative to x to $\delta\star(\iota\circ\gamma_0\circ p_{\mathcal{S}})\star\delta^{-1}$. Consequently, $[\gamma] = \Psi_{\mathcal{S}}([\gamma_0]) = (\Psi_{\mathcal{S}} \circ \Phi_{\mathcal{S}})([\gamma])$.

Finally, although the Batchelor splitting $\mathcal{M} \cong \Pi V$ is non-canonical, the map $\Phi_{\mathcal{S}}([\gamma]) = [\gamma_0]$ is defined solely via the canonical body functor. For any morphism of superpoints $f\colon \mathcal{S}' \to \mathcal{S}$, the functoriality of taking reduced spaces gives $(f^*\gamma)_0 = \gamma_0 \circ f_0 = \gamma_0$ (since $f_0 = \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{pt}}$), so $\Phi_{\mathcal{S}'} \circ f^* = \Phi_{\mathcal{S}}$, establishing naturality in $\mathcal{S}$. □

Remark 5.6 (Reduction to Classical Monodromy and Functoriality)**.**

1. *Functoriality in $\mathcal{S}$:* As $\mathcal{S}$ varies over superpoints, the assignments $\mathcal{S} \mapsto \pi_1^{\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{M}, x_{\mathcal{S}})$ and $\mathcal{S} \mapsto \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}},0}(x_{\mathcal{S}}^*\mathscr{E})$ define group-valued functors, and $\mathrm{Mon}_x(\nabla)$ is a natural transformation between them.

2. *Classical reduction:* If $x_0 \in M_0$ is a classical point viewed as an $\mathbb{R}^{0|0}$-point (or via projection of an $\mathcal{S}$-point x to its body x_0), Lemma 4.10 implies that restricting $\mathrm{Mon}_x^{\mathcal{S}}(\nabla)$ to the reduced manifold recovers the classical monodromy representation $\mathrm{Mon}_{x_0}(\nabla^0)\colon \pi_1(M_0, x_0) \to \mathrm{GL}(E_{x_0})$.

Proposition 5.7 (Basepoint Independence)**.** *Let ∇ be an even flat connection on $\mathscr{E} \to \mathcal{M}$, and let $\delta\colon x \to y$ be a piecewise smooth $\mathcal{S}$-path connecting two $\mathcal{S}$-points $x, y\colon \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{M}$. Then parallel transport $P_\delta\colon x^*\mathscr{E} \to y^*\mathscr{E}$ intertwines the corresponding monodromy representations via conjugation:*

$$\mathrm{Mon}_y^{\mathcal{S}}(\nabla)\big([\delta \star \gamma \star \delta^{-1}]\big) = P_\delta \circ \mathrm{Mon}_x^{\mathcal{S}}(\nabla)([\gamma]) \circ P_\delta^{-1}$$

for all $[\gamma] \in \pi_1^{\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{M}, x)$.

Proof. By Definition 5.4 and Proposition 5.3, the monodromy representation is well-defined on $\mathcal{S}$-homotopy classes and acts via parallel transport. Applying the functoriality of parallel transport under path concatenation (Lemma 4.8) and path inversion (Lemma 4.9), we compute for any $[\gamma] \in \pi_1^{\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{M}, x)$:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Mon}_y^{\mathcal{S}}(\nabla)\big([\delta \star \gamma \star \delta^{-1}]\big) &= P_{\delta\star\gamma\star\delta^{-1}} \\ &= P_\delta \circ P_\gamma \circ P_{\delta^{-1}} \\ &= P_\delta \circ \mathrm{Mon}_x^{\mathcal{S}}(\nabla)([\gamma]) \circ P_\delta^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 5.8 (Gauge Equivalence and Monodromy)**.** *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a connected supermanifold and let ∇ and ∇' be two even flat connections on the same super vector bundle $\mathscr{E} \to \mathcal{M}$, and fix a family of base $\mathcal{S}$-points $x_{\mathcal{S}}\colon \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{M}$ natural in $\mathcal{S}$. Then ∇ and ∇' are gauge-equivalent—that is, there exists an even super vector bundle automorphism $\Psi \in \mathrm{Aut}_0(\mathscr{E})$ such that $\nabla' = \Psi \circ \nabla \circ \Psi^{-1}$—if and only if their functorial $\mathcal{S}$-monodromy representations $\mathrm{Mon}_{x_{\mathcal{S}}}^{\mathcal{S}}(\nabla)$ and $\mathrm{Mon}_{x_{\mathcal{S}}}^{\mathcal{S}}(\nabla')$ are naturally conjugate for every superpoint $\mathcal{S} = \mathbb{R}^{0|L}$.*

Proof. ($\Rightarrow$) Suppose there exists an even super vector bundle automorphism $\Psi \in \mathrm{Aut}_0(\mathscr{E})$ such that $\nabla' = \Psi \circ \nabla \circ \Psi^{-1}$. Let $\mathcal{S} = \mathbb{R}^{0|L}$ be a superpoint and let $\gamma\colon y \to z$ be any piecewise smooth $\mathcal{S}$-path in $\mathcal{M}$. A pullback section $X \in \Gamma(\gamma^*\mathscr{E})$ satisfies $(\gamma^*\nabla)_{\partial_t} X = 0$ if and only if

$$(\gamma^*\nabla')_{\partial_t}\big((\gamma^*\Psi)(X)\big) = (\gamma^*\Psi)\big((\gamma^*\nabla)_{\partial_t} X\big) = 0,$$

since Ψ is even and intertwines ∇ and ∇'. By the existence and uniqueness of parallel transport , it follows that

$$P_\gamma^{\nabla'} = (z^*\Psi) \circ P_\gamma^{\nabla} \circ (y^*\Psi)^{-1}.$$

Specializing to $y = z = x_{\mathcal{S}}$ and setting $\Phi_{\mathcal{S}} := x_{\mathcal{S}}^*\Psi \in \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}},0}(x_{\mathcal{S}}^*\mathscr{E})$, we obtain

$$\mathrm{Mon}_{x_{\mathcal{S}}}^{\mathcal{S}}(\nabla')([\gamma]) = P_\gamma^{\nabla'} = \Phi_{\mathcal{S}} \circ P_\gamma^{\nabla} \circ \Phi_{\mathcal{S}}^{-1} = \Phi_{\mathcal{S}} \circ \mathrm{Mon}_{x_{\mathcal{S}}}^{\mathcal{S}}(\nabla)([\gamma]) \circ \Phi_{\mathcal{S}}^{-1}$$

for every $[\gamma] \in \pi_1^{\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{M}, x_{\mathcal{S}})$. Moreover, for any morphism of superpoints $f\colon \mathcal{S}' \to \mathcal{S}$, naturality of the family $x_{\mathcal{S}}$ ($x_{\mathcal{S}} \circ f = x_{\mathcal{S}'}$) yields $f^*\Phi_{\mathcal{S}} = f^*(x_{\mathcal{S}}^*\Psi) = x_{\mathcal{S}'}^*\Psi = \Phi_{\mathcal{S}'}$, so the monodromy representations are naturally conjugate.

($\Leftarrow$) Conversely, suppose that for every superpoint $\mathcal{S} = \mathbb{R}^{0|L}$ there exists an even $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}}$-superlinear isomorphism $\Phi_{\mathcal{S}}\colon x_{\mathcal{S}}^*\mathscr{E} \xrightarrow{\sim} x_{\mathcal{S}}^*\mathscr{E}$, natural in $\mathcal{S}$, such that

$$\mathrm{Mon}_{x_{\mathcal{S}}}^{\mathcal{S}}(\nabla')([\gamma]) = \Phi_{\mathcal{S}} \circ \mathrm{Mon}_{x_{\mathcal{S}}}^{\mathcal{S}}(\nabla)([\gamma]) \circ \Phi_{\mathcal{S}}^{-1} \qquad \text{for all } [\gamma] \in \pi_1^{\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{M}, x_{\mathcal{S}}).$$

Let $x_0 \in M_0$ denote the underlying classical point of $x_{\mathbb{R}^{0|0}}\colon \mathbb{R}^{0|0} \to \mathcal{M}$. By Theorem 5.2, we may cover $\mathcal{M}$ by open subsupermanifolds $\{U_\alpha\}$ such that on each U_α there exist a ∇-parallel local homogeneous frame $\mathbf{e}^{(\alpha)} = (e_1^{(\alpha)}, \dots, e_{p+q}^{(\alpha)})$ and a ∇'-parallel local homogeneous frame $\tilde{\mathbf{e}}^{(\alpha)} = (\tilde{e}_1^{(\alpha)}, \dots, \tilde{e}_{p+q}^{(\alpha)})$ of the same parity type $(p|q)$, and such that each reduced open set $(U_\alpha)_0$ is connected.

Fix an index α and choose a classical point $p_\alpha \in (U_\alpha)_0$, viewed as an $\mathbb{R}^{0|0}$-point $p_\alpha\colon \mathbb{R}^{0|0} \to U_\alpha$. Since M_0 is connected, choose a piecewise smooth classical path $\delta_\alpha\colon x_0 \to p_\alpha$ in M_0, viewed as a piecewise smooth $\mathbb{R}^{0|0}$-path in $\mathcal{M}$ from $x_{\mathbb{R}^{0|0}}$ to p_α. Consider the composite even $\mathbb{R}$-linear isomorphism of fibers

$$\Psi_{p_\alpha} := P^{\nabla'}_{\delta_\alpha} \circ \Phi_{\mathbb{R}^{0|0}} \circ \big(P^{\nabla}_{\delta_\alpha}\big)^{-1}\colon p_\alpha^*\mathscr{E} \xrightarrow{\sim} p_\alpha^*\mathscr{E}.$$

Because $\tilde{\mathbf{e}}^{(\alpha)}$ forms a basis of $p_\alpha^*\mathscr{E}$ at p_α, there is a unique invertible even scalar matrix $C^{(\alpha)} = \big(C^{(\alpha)}_{ji}\big) \in \mathrm{GL}(p|q;\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\Psi_{p_\alpha}\big(p_\alpha^* e_i^{(\alpha)}\big) = \sum_{j=1}^{p+q} \tilde{e}_j^{(\alpha)}(p_\alpha)\, C^{(\alpha)}_{ji}.$$

Define an even $\mathcal{O}_{U_\alpha}$-superlinear bundle isomorphism $\Psi_\alpha\colon \mathscr{E}|_{U_\alpha} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathscr{E}|_{U_\alpha}$ by setting

$$\Psi_\alpha\big(e_i^{(\alpha)}\big) := \sum_{j=1}^{p+q} \tilde{e}_j^{(\alpha)}\, C^{(\alpha)}_{ji}$$

and extending $\mathcal{O}_{U_\alpha}$-superlinearly. Since $C^{(\alpha)}$ has constant real entries and both frames are parallel for their respective connections, Ψ_α maps ∇-parallel local sections on U_α to ∇'-parallel local sections on U_α. In particular, for any superpoint $\mathcal{S}$ and any piecewise smooth $\mathcal{S}$-path $\eta\colon z_0 \to z_1$ factoring through U_α, uniqueness of parallel transport implies

$$(z_1^*\Psi_\alpha) \circ P^{\nabla}_{\eta} = P^{\nabla'}_{\eta} \circ (z_0^*\Psi_\alpha). \tag{19}$$

We claim that the local isomorphisms $\{\Psi_\alpha\}$ agree on all overlaps $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$. Let $q_0 \in (U_\alpha)_0 \cap (U_\beta)_0$, viewed as an $\mathbb{R}^{0|0}$-point. Choose piecewise smooth classical paths $\eta_\alpha\colon p_\alpha \to q_0$ inside $(U_\alpha)_0$ and $\eta_\beta\colon p_\beta \to q_0$ inside $(U_\beta)_0$. By (19) applied to η_α and η_β, we have

$$q_0^*\Psi_\alpha = P^{\nabla'}_{\eta_\alpha} \circ \Psi_{p_\alpha} \circ \big(P^{\nabla}_{\eta_\alpha}\big)^{-1} = P^{\nabla'}_{\eta_\alpha \star \delta_\alpha} \circ \Phi_{\mathbb{R}^{0|0}} \circ \big(P^{\nabla}_{\eta_\alpha \star \delta_\alpha}\big)^{-1},$$

and similarly $q_0^*\Psi_\beta = P^{\nabla'}_{\eta_\beta \star \delta_\beta} \circ \Phi_{\mathbb{R}^{0|0}} \circ \big(P^{\nabla}_{\eta_\beta \star \delta_\beta}\big)^{-1}$. Now $\gamma := (\eta_\beta \star \delta_\beta)^{-1} \star (\eta_\alpha \star \delta_\alpha)$ is a piecewise smooth $\mathbb{R}^{0|0}$-loop based at $x_{\mathbb{R}^{0|0}}$. By the conjugacy hypothesis at $\mathcal{S} = \mathbb{R}^{0|0}$, we have $P^{\nabla'}_{\gamma} \circ \Phi_{\mathbb{R}^{0|0}} = \Phi_{\mathbb{R}^{0|0}} \circ P^{\nabla}_{\gamma}$, which expands via functoriality of parallel transport (Lemma 4.8 and Lemma 4.9) to

$$\big(P^{\nabla'}_{\eta_\beta \star \delta_\beta}\big)^{-1} \circ P^{\nabla'}_{\eta_\alpha \star \delta_\alpha} \circ \Phi_{\mathbb{R}^{0|0}} = \Phi_{\mathbb{R}^{0|0}} \circ \big(P^{\nabla}_{\eta_\beta \star \delta_\beta}\big)^{-1} \circ P^{\nabla}_{\eta_\alpha \star \delta_\alpha},$$

and hence $q_0^*\Psi_\alpha = q_0^*\Psi_\beta$ at every classical point $q_0 \in (U_\alpha)_0 \cap (U_\beta)_0$. Moreover, on each connected component W of $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$, both $\Psi_\alpha|_W$ and $\Psi_\beta|_W$ intertwine $\nabla|_W$ and $\nabla'|_W$. Fixing a basepoint $q_0 \in W_0$, any local section $s \in \mathscr{E}(W)$ is uniquely determined on W by its value at q_0 once parallel-transported along paths inside W; concretely, for every superpoint $\mathcal{S}$ and every $\mathcal{S}$-point $z\colon \mathcal{S} \to W$, choosing a piecewise smooth $\mathcal{S}$-path $\sigma\colon q_0 \circ p_{\mathcal{S}} \to z$ inside W (for instance, by lifting a classical path from q_0 to z_0 and concatenating with fiber-scaling paths) gives

$$z^*\Psi_\alpha = P^{\nabla'}_{\sigma} \circ \big((q_0 \circ p_{\mathcal{S}})^*\Psi_\alpha\big) \circ \big(P^{\nabla}_{\sigma}\big)^{-1} = P^{\nabla'}_{\sigma} \circ \big((q_0 \circ p_{\mathcal{S}})^*\Psi_\beta\big) \circ \big(P^{\nabla}_{\sigma}\big)^{-1} = z^*\Psi_\beta,$$

since $(q_0 \circ p_{\mathcal{S}})^*\Psi_\alpha = p_{\mathcal{S}}^*(q_0^*\Psi_\alpha) = p_{\mathcal{S}}^*(q_0^*\Psi_\beta) = (q_0 \circ p_{\mathcal{S}})^*\Psi_\beta$. Since morphisms of super vector bundles on W are uniquely determined by their pullbacks along all $\mathcal{S}$-points for superpoints $\mathcal{S}$, it follows that $\Psi_\alpha|_W = \Psi_\beta|_W$.

Thus the local automorphisms $\{\Psi_\alpha\}$ glue to a global even super vector bundle automorphism $\Psi \in \mathrm{Aut}_0(\mathscr{E})$. On each U_α, $\Psi|_{U_\alpha} = \Psi_\alpha$ maps the ∇-parallel frame $\mathbf{e}^{(\alpha)}$ to the ∇'-parallel frame $\tilde{\mathbf{e}}^{(\alpha)}C^{(\alpha)}$, which implies $\nabla'|_{U_\alpha} = \Psi_\alpha \circ \nabla|_{U_\alpha} \circ \Psi_\alpha^{-1}$. Hence $\nabla' = \Psi \circ \nabla \circ \Psi^{-1}$ globally, proving that ∇ and ∇' are gauge-equivalent. $\square$

Definition 5.9 ($\mathcal{S}$-Holonomy Group)**.** For an arbitrary even connection ∇ on $\mathscr{E} \to \mathcal{M}$ and an $\mathcal{S}$-point $x\colon \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{M}$, the *$\mathcal{S}$-holonomy group* of ∇ based at x is the subgroup

$$\mathrm{Hol}_x^{\mathcal{S}}(\nabla) := \{P_\gamma \mid \gamma\colon x \to x \text{ is a piecewise smooth } \mathcal{S}\text{-loop}\} \subseteq \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}},0}(x^*\mathscr{E}).$$

When ∇ is flat, $\mathrm{Hol}_x^{\mathcal{S}}(\nabla)$ coincides with the image of $\mathrm{Mon}_x^{\mathcal{S}}(\nabla)$.

Theorem 5.10 (Monodromy Classification of Flat Super Vector Bundles)**.** *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a connected supermanifold. Assignment of the functorial $\mathcal{S}$-monodromy representation $\mathcal{S} \mapsto \mathrm{Mon}_{x_{\mathcal{S}}}^{\mathcal{S}}(\nabla)$ induces a bijection between isomorphism classes of super vector bundles $\mathscr{E} \to \mathcal{M}$ equipped with an even flat connection ∇ and natural isomorphism (conjugacy) classes of functorial representations of $\pi_1^{(-)}(\mathcal{M}, x)$.*

Proof. We establish well-definedness, injectivity, and surjectivity of the assignment $[\mathscr{E}, \nabla] \mapsto [\mathrm{Mon}_{x_{\mathcal{S}}}^{\mathcal{S}}(\nabla)]$.

Well-definedness and injectivity. Suppose $\Psi\colon (\mathscr{E}, \nabla) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathscr{E}', \nabla')$ is an even isomorphism of super vector bundles satisfying $\nabla' = \Psi \circ \nabla \circ \Psi^{-1}$. For any superpoint $\mathcal{S} = \mathbb{R}^{0|L}$ and any piecewise smooth $\mathcal{S}$-loop γ based at $x_{\mathcal{S}}$, functoriality of parallel transport under bundle isomorphisms gives

$$\mathrm{Mon}_{x_{\mathcal{S}}}^{\mathcal{S}}(\nabla')([\gamma]) = P_\gamma^{\nabla'} = (x_{\mathcal{S}}^*\Psi) \circ P_\gamma^{\nabla} \circ (x_{\mathcal{S}}^*\Psi)^{-1} = (x_{\mathcal{S}}^*\Psi) \circ \mathrm{Mon}_{x_{\mathcal{S}}}^{\mathcal{S}}(\nabla)([\gamma]) \circ (x_{\mathcal{S}}^*\Psi)^{-1}.$$

Since $x_{\mathcal{S}}^*\Psi$ is natural in $\mathcal{S}$, the monodromy representations of $(\mathscr{E}, \nabla)$ and $(\mathscr{E}', \nabla')$ are naturally conjugate, so the map is well-defined.

Conversely, suppose $(\mathscr{E}, \nabla)$ and $(\mathscr{E}', \nabla')$ have naturally conjugate functorial monodromy representations via even $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}}$-superlinear isomorphisms $\Phi_{\mathcal{S}}\colon x_{\mathcal{S}}^*\mathscr{E} \xrightarrow{\sim} x_{\mathcal{S}}^*\mathscr{E}'$. Evaluating at $\mathcal{S} = \mathbb{R}^{0|0}$ shows that $\mathscr{E}$ and $\mathscr{E}'$ have the same fiber rank $(p \mid q)$. Choosing local homogeneous ∇-parallel frames $\mathbf{e}^{(\alpha)}$ and ∇'-parallel frames $\tilde{\mathbf{e}}^{(\alpha)}$ on an open cover $\{U_\alpha\}$ of $\mathcal{M}$ as in Theorem 5.8 (with each $(U_\alpha)_0$ connected) and defining local bundle isomorphisms $\Psi_\alpha\colon \mathscr{E}|_{U_\alpha} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathscr{E}'|_{U_\alpha}$ via parallel transport from x_0 composed with $\Phi_{\mathbb{R}^{0|0}}$, the exact same overlap calculation as in Theorem 5.8 shows that $\Psi_\alpha|_{U_\alpha \cap U_\beta} = \Psi_\beta|_{U_\alpha \cap U_\beta}$. Hence $\{\Psi_\alpha\}$ glues to a global even isomorphism $\Psi\colon \mathscr{E} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathscr{E}'$ satisfying $\nabla' = \Psi \circ \nabla \circ \Psi^{-1}$, proving injectivity.

Surjectivity. Let $\rho\colon \pi_1^{(-)}(\mathcal{M}, x) \to \mathrm{Aut}(V)$ be a functorial representation on a finite-dimensional real super vector space $V \cong \mathbb{R}^{p|q}$ (where for each superpoint $\mathcal{S}$, $\rho_{\mathcal{S}}$ takes values in $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}},0}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} V)$ and is natural in $\mathcal{S}$). Let $\pi\colon \widetilde{\mathcal{M}} \to \mathcal{M}$ be the universal covering supermanifold of $\mathcal{M}$ with basepoint $\tilde{x}_0 \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_0$ covering $x_0 = x_{\mathbb{R}^{0|0}}$, equipped with the canonical free and properly discontinuous right action of $\Gamma := \pi_1(\mathcal{M}_0, x_0)$ by deck transformations.

On the trivial super vector bundle $\widetilde{\mathscr{E}} := \widetilde{\mathcal{M}} \times V \to \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ endowed with the trivial even flat connection $\widetilde{\nabla} = d$, define a right action of Γ by

$$(\tilde{y}, v) \cdot [\gamma] := \big(\tilde{y} \cdot [\gamma],\, \rho_{\mathbb{R}^{0|0}}([\gamma])^{-1}(v)\big)$$

for $[\gamma] \in \Gamma$. Because Γ acts freely and properly discontinuously on the reduced manifold $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_0$ by supermanifold automorphisms and preserves the trivial connection $\widetilde{\nabla}$, the quotient

$$\mathscr{E}_\rho := (\widetilde{\mathcal{M}} \times V)/\Gamma \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}$$

inherits the structure of a super vector bundle of rank $(p \mid q)$ equipped with a descended even flat connection ∇_ρ.

For any superpoint $\mathcal{S}$ and any piecewise smooth $\mathcal{S}$-loop γ based at $x_{\mathcal{S}}$, lifting γ to a piecewise smooth $\mathcal{S}$-path $\tilde{\gamma}$ in $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ starting at $\tilde{x}_{\mathcal{S}}$ (the unique lift of $x_{\mathcal{S}}$ through $\tilde{x}_0$) yields endpoint $\tilde{x}_{\mathcal{S}} \cdot [\gamma_0]$, where $[\gamma_0] \in \Gamma$ is the underlying homotopy class of γ. Since $\widetilde{\nabla}$-parallel transport in $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}} \times V$ is trivial in the V-factor, descending to the quotient $\mathscr{E}_\rho$ identifies $P_\gamma^{\nabla_\rho}$ with $\rho_{\mathcal{S}}([\gamma])$ naturally in $\mathcal{S}$. Thus $[\mathrm{Mon}(\nabla_\rho)] = [\rho]$, completing the proof. $\square$

Example 5.11 (The Super Möbius Bundle over $S^{1|1}$)**.** Let $\mathcal{M} = S^{1|1} := \mathbb{R}^{1|1}/\mathbb{Z}$, where $\mathbb{Z}$ acts freely and properly discontinuously on the universal covering supermanifold $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}} = \mathbb{R}^{1|1}$ with global coordinates (t, θ) via $(t, \theta) \cdot n := (t + n, \theta)$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Its reduced manifold is $\mathcal{M}_0 = S^1$ with fundamental group $\Gamma := \pi_1(S^1, x_0) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ at $x_0 = [0]$.

Consider the purely odd fiber $V = \mathbb{R}^{0|1}$ (or even fiber $\mathbb{R}^{1|0}$) equipped with the nontrivial functorial representation $\rho\colon \pi_1^{(-)}(S^{1|1}, x) \to \mathrm{Aut}(V)$ determined on classical generators by $\rho_{\mathbb{R}^{0|0}}(1) = -\mathrm{id}_V$. Following the surjectivity construction in Theorem 5.10, define a right $\mathbb{Z}$-action on the trivial bundle $\widetilde{\mathscr{E}} = \mathbb{R}^{1|1} \times V \to \mathbb{R}^{1|1}$ by

$$(t, \theta, v) \cdot n := \big(t + n,\, \theta,\, (-1)^n v\big), \qquad n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

The quotient $\mathscr{E}_\rho := (\mathbb{R}^{1|1} \times V)/\mathbb{Z} \to S^{1|1}$ is the *super Möbius bundle* of rank $(0 \mid 1)$, which is nontrivial as a super vector bundle since its reduced bundle over S^1 is the classical Möbius line bundle. Because the $\mathbb{Z}$-action preserves the trivial flat connection $\widetilde{\nabla} = d$, it descends to an even flat connection ∇_ρ on $\mathscr{E}_\rho$.

For any superpoint $\mathcal{S} = \mathbb{R}^{0|L}$ and any $\mathcal{S}$-point $x_\mathcal{S} = (t_0, \theta_0)\colon \mathcal{S} \to S^{1|1}$, let $\gamma\colon [0,1] \times \mathcal{S} \to S^{1|1}$ be the basic $\mathcal{S}$-loop winding once around S^1, given by $\gamma(s) = [t_0 + s, \theta_0]$. Lifting γ to $\widetilde{\gamma}(s) = (t_0 + s, \theta_0)$ in $\mathbb{R}^{1|1}$ connects (t_0, θ_0) to $(t_0 + 1, \theta_0) = (t_0, \theta_0) \cdot 1$. Since $\widetilde{\nabla}$-parallel transport in $\mathbb{R}^{1|1} \times V$ is constant in V, descending to $\mathscr{E}_\rho$ identifies the endpoints via $(t_0 + 1, \theta_0, v) \sim (t_0, \theta_0, -v)$, yielding

$$\mathrm{Mon}^{\mathcal{S}}_{x_\mathcal{S}}(\nabla_\rho)([\gamma]) = P^{\nabla_\rho}_\gamma = -\mathrm{id}_{x_\mathcal{S}^* \mathscr{E}_\rho} = \rho_\mathcal{S}([\gamma]).$$

Thus $[\mathrm{Mon}(\nabla_\rho)] = [\rho]$, illustrating the correspondence of Theorem 5.10.

During the preparation of this manuscript, the author utilized artificial intelligence tools solely to assist with language polishing and stylistic refinement. All mathematical formulations, proofs, and theoretical results remain the original work of the author, who has thoroughly reviewed and verified the final text and assumes full responsibility for the entire content of this publication.

References

[BoTu82] Raoul Bott and Loring W. Tu (1982), *Differential Forms in Algebraic Topology*, Springer. Available at: `https://link.springer.com/book/10.1007/978-1-4757-3951-0`

[Ed21] Konstantin Eder (2021), *Super fiber bundles, connection forms and parallel transport*, arXiv Preprint Available at: `https://arxiv.org/pdf/2101.00924`

[Gal18] Anton S. Galaev (2018), *Holonomy of supermanifolds* arXiv Preprint Available at: `https://arxiv.org/pdf/math/0703679`

[Gr14] Josua Groeger (2014), *Super Wilson Loops and Holonomy on Supermanifolds* arXiv Preprint Available at : `https://arxiv.org/pdf/1607.07686`

[Tu10] Loring W. Tu (2010), *Differential Geometry* Springer. Available at: `https://link.springer.com/book/10.1007/978-3-319-55084-8`

[Tuy04] G.M.Tuynman (2004), *Supermanifolds and Supergroups Basic Theory*, Kluwer Academic Publishers , Available at `https://link.springer.com/book/10.1007/1-4020-2297-2`