THE DAUGAVET PROPERTY OF BIDUAL SPACES

SAMIR HAMAD

Abstract. We construct a separable Banach space whose bidual has the Daugavet property. The construction proceeds by successively extending finite-dimensional spaces so that prescribed vectors are approximated by averages of newly added unit vectors. A simultaneous extension argument produces finitely many fixed functionals whose norm-attaining faces approximate the unit ball of the bidual.

hexagon:2611.00299v1

1. Introduction

Throughout the paper all spaces, scalars and operators are real. We say that a normed space X has the *Daugavet property*, shortly $X \in \mathrm{DPr}$, if

$$\|\mathrm{Id} + T\| = 1 + \|T\|,$$

for every rank-one operator $T\colon X \to X$. The following characterization was obtained in [3, Lemma 2.2].

Theorem 1.1. *Let X be a normed space. The following assertions are equivalent:*

(1) *X has the Daugavet property.*

(2) *For every $y \in S_X$, $x^* \in S_{X^*}$, and every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $x \in S_X$ such that*

$$x^*(x) \geq 1 - \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \|x + y\| \geq 2 - \varepsilon.$$

(3) *For every $\varepsilon > 0$ and every $y \in S_X$, the closed convex hull of*

$$\{\, u \in (1+\varepsilon)B_X \mid \|y + u\| \geq 2 - \varepsilon \,\}$$

contains S_X.

We give a positive answer to the question of V. M. Kadets and D. Werner recorded in [1, Problem 2.1, p. 204], which asks whether there exists a Banach space whose bidual has the Daugavet property. The bidual problem is motivated in part by the fact that classical Daugavet spaces such as $C[0,1]$ and $L^1[0,1]$ lose the Daugavet property upon passing to their biduals.

Theorem 1.2. *There exists a separable Banach space X such that X^{**} has the Daugavet property.*

We use successive finite-dimensional extensions, as in [2, Sections 2–3]. The additional ingredient is a contractive extension into an auxiliary space $V_{C,M}$, with one prescribed coordinate. It yields a family of functionals chosen independently of the point of B_X being decomposed.

2. The finite-dimensional extension

Let E be a finite-dimensional normed space, $p \in B_E$, $\delta > 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Put $q_n = n^{-1}(1, \ldots, 1)$ and define $F = F(E, p, \delta, n) = E \oplus \mathbb{R}^n$ by

$$\|(x, b)\|_F = \inf_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \big(\|x + tp\|_E + \|b - tq_n\|_1 + \delta|t| \big). \tag{2.1}$$

This is the quotient norm induced by the surjection

$$Q : E \oplus_1 \ell_1^n \oplus_1 (\mathbb{R}, \delta|\cdot|) \longrightarrow F, \qquad Q(y, b, t) = (y - tp, b + tq_n).$$

We identify E with $E \oplus \{0\}$ and put $h_j = (0, e_j) \in F$.

Date: November 2026.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46B04, 46B20.

Key words and phrases. Daugavet property, bidual space, finite-dimensional extension.

Working draft.

Lemma 2.1. *The inclusion $E \hookrightarrow F$ is isometric, and*

$$h_j \in B_F \quad (1 \le j \le n), \qquad \left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} h_j - p \right\|_F \le \delta. \tag{2.2}$$

Under the pairing $(f,\alpha)(x,b) = f(x) + \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j b_j$, one has

$$\|(f,\alpha)\|_{F^*} = \max\left\{ \|f\|_{E^*},\ \|\alpha\|_\infty,\ \frac{1}{\delta}\left| \frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_j - f(p) \right| \right\}. \tag{2.3}$$

If $n\delta \ge 2$, then

$$\|h_j\|_F = 1, \qquad \|x + h_j\|_F = 2 \quad (x \in S_E,\ 1 \le j \le n). \tag{2.4}$$

Proof. For $x \in E$,

$$\|x + tp\|_E + (1+\delta)|t| \ge \|x\|_E + (1 + \delta - \|p\|_E)|t| \ge \|x\|_E .$$

Together with $t = 0$, this proves isometry. Taking $t = 0$ at h_j and $t = 1$ at $(-p, q_n)$ proves (2.2). The adjoint of the quotient map is

$$Q^*(f,\alpha) = \left(f, \alpha, \frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_j - f(p) \right),$$

which gives (2.3). If $n\delta \ge 2$, taking $f = 0$ and $\alpha = e_j$ in (2.3) gives $\|h_j\|_F = 1$. Finally, fix $x \in S_E$ and j, and choose $f \in S_{E^*}$ with $f(x) = 1$. Set $\alpha_j = 1$ and $\alpha_k = f(p)$ for $k \ne j$. Then

$$\left| \frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^{n} \alpha_k - f(p) \right| = \frac{1 - f(p)}{n} \le \frac{2}{n} \le \delta.$$

Thus $(f,\alpha) \in B_{F^*}$ and $(f,\alpha)(x + h_j) = 2$. The triangle inequality gives (2.4). □

Lemma 2.2. *Let Y be a normed space, $T : E \to Y$ linear and $b_1, \dots, b_n \in Y$. The unique linear extension $\widetilde{T} : F \to Y$ satisfying $\widetilde{T}|_E = T$ and $\widetilde{T}h_j = b_j$ has norm*

$$\left\|\widetilde{T}\right\|_{\mathcal{L}(F,Y)} = \max\left\{ \|T\|_{\mathcal{L}(E,Y)},\ \max_{1\le j\le n} \|b_j\|_Y,\ \frac{1}{\delta}\left\| \frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n} b_j - Tp \right\|_Y \right\}. \tag{2.5}$$

Proof. Apply (2.3) to $y^* \circ \widetilde{T} = (y^* \circ T, (y^*(b_j))_{j=1}^n)$ and take the supremum over $y^* \in B_{Y^*}$. □

3. The inductive construction

Put $E_1 = \mathbb{R}$ and $P_0 = \varnothing$. Given E_s, choose a finite 2^{-s}-net $N_s \subset B_{E_s}$ and set

$$P_s = P_{s-1} \cup N_s, \qquad n_s = (s+2)!, \qquad m_s = s|P_s|.$$

Enumerate

$$P_s \times \{1, \dots, s\} = \{(p_{s,r}, k_{s,r}) : 1 \le r \le m_s\}.$$

Starting with $F_{s,0} = E_s$, define

$$F_{s,r} = F\left(F_{s,r-1}, p_{s,r}, \frac{1}{k_{s,r}}, n_s \right) \quad (1 \le r \le m_s), \qquad E_{s+1} = F_{s,m_s}. \tag{3.1}$$

Here every $p_{s,r}$ is chosen in E_s before the extensions in (3.1). Lemma 2.1 gives isometric inclusions

$$E_s = F_{s,0} \subset F_{s,1} \subset \cdots \subset F_{s,m_s} = E_{s+1}.$$

Let X be the completion of $X_0 = \bigcup_{s\ge1} E_s$, and put $P = \bigcup_{s\ge1} P_s$. Then X is separable, and

$$\overline{P}^{\|\cdot\|_X} = B_X. \tag{3.2}$$

Indeed, $\bigcup_s B_{E_s}$ is dense in B_X, and $\operatorname{dist}(x, P_s) \le 2^{-s}$ for $x \in B_{E_r}$ and $s \ge r$.

For each fixed $p \in P$ and $k, M \in \mathbb{N}$, the construction contains arbitrarily late elementary extensions

$$F(E, p, 1/k, n) \qquad \text{with } M \mid n. \tag{3.3}$$

In fact, $p \in P_s$, $k \le s$ and $M \mid (s+2)!$ for all sufficiently large s.

4. Extensions with a prescribed coordinate

Fix $C \geq 1$ and $M \in \mathbb{N}$. On $V = V_{C,M} = \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}^M$ put

$$\|(a,c)\|_V = \max\left\{|a|,\ \max_{1\leq i\leq M}|a+c_i|,\ \max_{A\subseteq\{1,\dots,M\}}\left|a+\frac{1}{C}\sum_{i\in A}c_i\right|\right\}. \tag{4.1}$$

Write $K = B_V$, $L(a,c) = a$, $\pi_i(a,c) = c_i$ and $c_i^{\pm} = \max\{\pm c_i, 0\}$. Then

$$(a,c)\in K \iff \begin{cases}|a|\leq 1, \quad -1-a\leq c_i\leq 1-a & (1\leq i\leq M),\\ \|c^+\|_1\leq C(1-a), \quad \|c^-\|_1\leq C(1+a).\end{cases} \tag{4.2}$$

In particular,

$$\|c\|_\infty \leq 2, \qquad \|c\|_1 \leq 2C \quad ((a,c)\in K). \tag{4.3}$$

If $(a,c)\in K$ and $|a|<1$, then

$$v_+ = \left(1, -\frac{2c^-}{1+a}\right)\in K, \qquad v_- = \left(-1, \frac{2c^+}{1-a}\right)\in K, \qquad (a,c) = \frac{1+a}{2}v_+ + \frac{1-a}{2}v_-. \tag{4.4}$$

All assertions follow directly from (4.2).

Lemma 4.1. *Let $F = F(E,p,\delta,n)$, $f_F \in B_{F^*}$ and $0<\theta<1$. Every contraction $T_E : E \to V_{C,M}$ with $L\circ T_E = \theta f_F|_E$ admits a contraction $T_F : F \to V_{C,M}$ such that*

$$T_F|_E = T_E, \qquad L\circ T_F = \theta f_F. \tag{4.5}$$

If, in addition,

$$M \mid n, \qquad M\geq C, \qquad \frac{4}{C}\leq(1-\theta)\delta, \tag{4.6}$$

then, for any partition $\{1,\dots,n\} = J_1\sqcup\cdots\sqcup J_M$ with $|J_i| = n/M$, the extension may be chosen to satisfy

$$(L+\pi_i)(T_F h_j) = 1 \qquad (j\in J_i,\ 1\leq i\leq M). \tag{4.7}$$

Proof. Put $a_0 = \theta f_F(p)$ and $a_j = \theta f_F(h_j)$. Since $|a_0|\leq\theta<1$, apply (4.4) to $T_E p$ and write

$$T_E p = \frac{1+a_0}{2}v_+ + \frac{1-a_0}{2}v_-, \qquad b_j = \frac{1+a_j}{2}v_+ + \frac{1-a_j}{2}v_-.$$

Then $b_j\in K$, $Lb_j = a_j$, and (2.2) gives

$$\left\|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^n b_j - T_E p\right\|_V = \left|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^n a_j - a_0\right|\left\|\frac{v_+-v_-}{2}\right\|_V \leq \theta\delta. \tag{4.8}$$

Thus $T_F|_E = T_E$, $T_F h_j = b_j$ defines a contraction by (2.5), and satisfies (4.5).

Assume (4.6). For $(a,c)\in K$, define

$$R_i(a,c) = \left(a, \left(1-\frac{1}{C}\right)c + \beta_i e_i\right), \qquad \beta_i = 1-a-\left(1-\frac{1}{C}\right)c_i \in [0,2].$$

If $R_i(a,c) = (a,c')$, then $c_i' = 1-a$, the coordinate bounds in (4.2) hold, and

$$\left\|(c')^+\right\|_1 \leq \left(1-\frac{1}{C}\right)C(1-a) + (1-a) = C(1-a), \qquad \left\|(c')^-\right\|_1 \leq \left(1-\frac{1}{C}\right)C(1+a).$$

Hence $R_i(K)\subset K$. Write $b_j = (a_j, c^{(j)})$, let $\ell(j) = i$ for $j\in J_i$, and put

$$\beta_i^{(j)} = 1-a_j-\left(1-\frac{1}{C}\right)c_i^{(j)}, \qquad b_j' = R_{\ell(j)}b_j.$$

The mean change has the form

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^n (b_j'-b_j) = (0,d), \qquad d = -\frac{1}{nC}\sum_{j=1}^n c^{(j)} + \frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^n \beta_{\ell(j)}^{(j)} e_{\ell(j)}.$$

Since $|J_i| = n/M$, (4.3) yields

$$\|d\|_\infty \le \frac{2}{C} + \frac{2}{M}, \qquad \|d\|_1 \le 4, \qquad \|(0,d)\|_V \le \max\left\{\|d\|_\infty, \frac{\|d\|_1}{C}\right\} \le \frac{4}{C}.$$

Together with (4.8), this gives

$$\left\|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n} b'_j - T_E p\right\|_V \le \theta\delta + \frac{4}{C} \le \delta.$$

Now prescribe $T_F|_E = T_E$ and $T_F h_j = b'_j$. Formula (2.5) proves contractivity; $Lb'_j = a_j$ and $(L+\pi_i)b'_j = 1$ for $j \in J_i$ prove the rest. □

5. Fixed functionals and bidual decompositions

The space X and its chain of finite-dimensional subspaces are now fixed.

Proposition 5.1. *Let $f \in B_{X^*}$, $0 < \theta < 1$, $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, $\delta_0 = 1/k_0$, $C \ge 1$ and $M \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfy*

$$M \ge C, \qquad \frac{4}{C} \le (1-\theta)\delta_0. \tag{5.1}$$

There exist $g_1, \dots, g_M \in X^*$ such that, with $\phi_i = \theta f + g_i$, one has

$$\phi_i \in S_{X^*}, \qquad \left\|\sum_{i=1}^{M} \sigma_i g_i\right\|_{X^*} \le 2C \quad (\sigma_i \in \{-1,1\}). \tag{5.2}$$

For these same functionals, put $\mathcal{F}_i = \{z \in B_{X^{**}} : z(\phi_i) = 1\}$. Then

$$B_{X^{**}} \subset \frac{1}{M}(\mathcal{F}_1 + \cdots + \mathcal{F}_M) + \delta_0 B_{X^{**}}. \tag{5.3}$$

The functionals depend on $f, \theta, \delta_0, C, M$, but are chosen independently of the vector in $B_{X^{**}}$ to be decomposed.

Proof. Set $V = V_{C,M}$ and $T_{E_1}x = (\theta f(x), 0)$. Along the fixed chain (3.1), apply Lemma 4.1 to each inclusion $E \subset F = F(E, p, \delta, n)$, always with $f_F = f|_F$. Use the additional conclusion whenever $\delta = \delta_0$ and $M \mid n$. The resulting contractions satisfy

$$T_F|_E = T_E, \qquad L \circ T_F = \theta f|_F.$$

They define a contraction on X_0 and hence a contraction $T : X \to V$ with $L \circ T = \theta f$. Put

$$g_i = \pi_i \circ T, \qquad \phi_i = (L + \pi_i) \circ T.$$

By (4.1) and (4.3),

$$\|\phi_i\|_{X^*} \le 1, \qquad \sup_{x \in B_X} \sum_{i=1}^{M} |g_i(x)| \le 2C,$$

which implies the sign estimate in (5.2).

Fix $p \in P$. By (3.3), choose an elementary extension at p with $\delta = \delta_0$ and $M \mid n$. For its partition $J_1, \dots, J_M$, set

$$z_i(p) = \frac{M}{n}\sum_{j \in J_i} h_j \in B_X.$$

Equations (2.2) and (4.7) give

$$\phi_i(z_i(p)) = 1, \qquad \left\|p - \frac{1}{M}\sum_{i=1}^{M} z_i(p)\right\|_X \le \delta_0. \tag{5.4}$$

In particular, $\|\phi_i\|_{X^*} = 1$ and each $\mathcal{F}_i$ is nonempty.

Identify X with its canonical image in X^{**}. The sets $\mathcal{F}_i$ are weak-star compact. Therefore

$$Q = \frac{1}{M}(\mathcal{F}_1 + \cdots + \mathcal{F}_M) + \delta_0 B_{X^{**}}$$

is weak-star compact, being the image of a finite product of weak-star compact sets under addition and scalar multiplication. By (5.4) and the fact that ϕ_i are chosen before the selection of p, $P \subset Q$. Goldstine's theorem and (3.2) now give

$$B_{X^{**}} = \overline{P}^{w^*} \subset Q,$$

as required. □

6. Proof of the main theorem

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Fix $u \in S_{X^{**}}$ and $0 < \varepsilon, \tau < 1$. Choose, in this order,

$$\eta = \frac{\varepsilon}{8}, \qquad \theta = 1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{4}, \qquad f \in S_{X^*} \text{ with } u(f) > 1 - \eta,$$
$$k_0 \in \mathbb{N} \text{ with } \delta_0 = \frac{1}{k_0} < \frac{\tau}{2},$$
$$C \geq \max\left\{1, \frac{4}{(1-\theta)\delta_0}\right\}, \qquad M \in \mathbb{N}, \quad M \geq \max\left\{C, \frac{8C}{\eta\tau}\right\}.$$

Thus η, θ depend only on ε; δ_0 depends only on τ; and C, M may be chosen as functions of (ε, τ) alone. Apply Proposition 5.1 to obtain g_i and ϕ_i. Choosing σ_i according to the sign of $u(g_i)$ gives

$$\sum_{i=1}^{M} |u(g_i)| = u\left(\sum_{i=1}^{M} \sigma_i g_i\right) \leq 2C. \tag{6.1}$$

Put

$$I = \{i : |u(g_i)| \leq \eta\}, \qquad b = M - |I|.$$

Then $b \leq 2C/\eta$ and $b/M \leq \tau/4 < 1$. For every $i \in I$,

$$u(\phi_i) = \theta u(f) + u(g_i) > \theta(1-\eta) - \eta. \tag{6.2}$$

Let $v \in B_{X^{**}}$. By (5.3), there are $z_i \in \mathcal{F}_i$ with

$$\left\| v - \frac{1}{M}\sum_{i=1}^{M} z_i \right\|_{X^{**}} \leq \delta_0.$$

For $i \in I$, (6.2) yields

$$\|u + z_i\|_{X^{**}} \geq (u + z_i)(\phi_i) > 1 + \theta(1-\eta) - \eta = 2 - \big((1-\theta) + (1+\theta)\eta\big) > 2 - \varepsilon,$$

since $(1-\theta) + (1+\theta)\eta = \varepsilon/2 - \varepsilon^2/32 < \varepsilon$. Consequently

$$w = \frac{1}{|I|}\sum_{i\in I} z_i \in \operatorname{conv}\{z \in B_{X^{**}} : \|u + z\| \geq 2 - \varepsilon\}.$$

Splitting the original average into the retained and discarded summands, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{M}\sum_{i=1}^{M} z_i = \frac{1}{M}\sum_{i\in I} z_i + \frac{1}{M}\sum_{i\notin I} z_i = \frac{M-b}{M}\, w + \frac{1}{M}\sum_{i\notin I} z_i.$$

Subtracting w therefore yields

$$\frac{1}{M}\sum_{i=1}^{M} z_i - w = \frac{1}{M}\sum_{i\notin I} z_i - \frac{b}{M}\, w.$$

There are exactly b discarded indices. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\left\| \frac{1}{M}\sum_{i=1}^{M} z_i - w \right\|_{X^{**}} &\leq \frac{1}{M}\sum_{i\notin I} \|z_i\|_{X^{**}} + \frac{b}{M}\|w\|_{X^{**}} \\ &\leq \frac{b}{M} + \frac{b}{M} = \frac{2b}{M}.\end{aligned}$$

Combining this estimate with

$$\left\| v - \frac{1}{M}\sum_{i=1}^{M} z_i \right\|_{X^{**}} \leq \delta_0,$$

we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \|v - w\|_{X^{**}} &\leq \left\| v - \frac{1}{M}\sum_{i=1}^{M} z_i \right\|_{X^{**}} + \left\| \frac{1}{M}\sum_{i=1}^{M} z_i - w \right\|_{X^{**}} \\ &\leq \delta_0 + \frac{2b}{M} \\ &\leq \delta_0 + \frac{4C}{\eta M} \\ &< \tau. \end{aligned}$$

Here the penultimate inequality uses $b \leq 2C/\eta$, and the last inequality follows from the choice of the parameters. Since v and τ were arbitrary, $B_{X^{**}} = \overline{\operatorname{conv}}\{z \in B_{X^{**}} : \|u + z\| \geq 2 - \varepsilon\}$ with respect to the norm topology in X^{**}. The characterization Theorem 1.1 completes the proof. □

Acknowledgments

The author used OpenAI's GPT-6 Astra to assist with mathematical exploration, the development and checking of proofs, and the presentation of arguments. The author takes full responsibility for the mathematical content and any remaining errors.

References

[1] A. M. Plichko and M. M. Popov, *Some open problems on Banach spaces*, Mat. Stud. **38** (2012), no. 2, 203–211. https://aplichko.orgfree.com/pdf/120.pdf.

[2] S. Hamad, *Tensor Products and the Isomorphic Theory of Daugavet Spaces*, preprint (2026), arXiv:2611.02060. https://arxiv.org/abs/2611.02060.

[3] V. M. Kadets, R. V. Shvidkoy, G. G. Sirotkin and D. Werner, *Banach spaces with the Daugavet property*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **352** (2000), 855–873.

Mathematisches Seminar, Christian-Albrechts-Universität zu Kiel, Kiel, Germany
Email address: hamad@math.uni-kiel.de