

CROSS-ENERGY OF DIRICHLET PARTIAL SUMS. PART 9: ZEROS OF THE PRIME ZETA FUNCTION IN ITS HALF-PLANE OF ABSOLUTE CONVERGENCE

PEDRO CACERES

ABSTRACT. The prime zeta function $P(s) = \sum_p p^{-s}$ has zeros in its half-plane of absolute convergence. Belovas, Čepaitytė and Sabaliauskas proved that $P \neq 0$ for $\operatorname{Re} s > \sigma_P = 1.7795446535\dots$, the solution of $P(\sigma) = 2^{1-\sigma}$. We show that this is sharp: the closure of the real parts of the zeros in $\operatorname{Re} s > 1$ is exactly $[1, \sigma_P]$, there are no zeros on $\operatorname{Re} s = \sigma_P$, and zeros occur arbitrarily close to every line $\operatorname{Re} s = \sigma$ with $1 < \sigma < \sigma_P$ (Bohr's method). By Jessen's theorem the density of real parts is $J''(\sigma)/2\pi$, where $J(\sigma) = -\sigma \log 2 + \mathbb{E} \log^+(2^\sigma |Y_\sigma|)$ and $Y_\sigma = \sum_{p \geq 3} p^{-\sigma} e^{i\theta_p}$ has independent uniform phases. J is smooth, $\sigma_P = \inf\{\sigma : J(\sigma) + \sigma \log 2 = 0\}$, and the density vanishes at σ_P faster than any power. The argument principle in ball arithmetic certifies exactly 68 zeros with $1.1 \leq \operatorname{Re} s \leq 1.85$ below height 2000 (70.0 predicted) and 12 with $\operatorname{Re} s \geq 1.5$ below height 5000 (12.0 predicted). A heuristic large-deviation extrapolation predicts zeros with real part above 1.7 about once every $6 \cdot 10^6$ units of height. In $\frac{1}{2} < \operatorname{Re} s < 1$, P is single-valued on the half-plane minus $(\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ if and only if RH holds (a reformulation of a classical equivalence), its zeros are canonical below height $3 \cdot 10^{12}$, and they are the solutions of $\zeta(s) = e^{A(s)}$, $A(s) = \sum_{m \geq 2} P(ms)/m$, with $|P(s)| < 2\pi$. Below height 600 their counts for $\operatorname{Re} s \geq 0.7$ agree numerically with the random model. The zeros are cancellations between 2^{-s} and the remaining primes, the prime-sum analogue of the Euler-product interference behind the off-line zeros of the Davenport–Heilbronn function. We do not prove the Riemann hypothesis.

1. INTRODUCTION

The prime zeta function

$$P(s) = \sum_p p^{-s} = \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{\mu(k)}{k} \log \zeta(ks)$$

converges absolutely for $\operatorname{Re} s > 1$. The second expression continues it to $\operatorname{Re} s > 0$, where it has logarithmic branch points at $s = \rho/k$ and $s = 1/k$ (k squarefree, ρ a zero of ζ) and a natural boundary on $\operatorname{Re} s = 0$ [LW]. Fröberg remarked in 1968 that very little was known about its roots [Fr]. Recently Belovas, Čepaitytė and Sabaliauskas [BCS] proved that $P(s) \neq 0$ for $\operatorname{Re} s > \sigma_P$, with σ_P as below, computed more than 10^4 zeros in $(0.1, 1.65) \times (0, 10^4)$, found the largest real part $1.6826\dots$ for $|\operatorname{Im} s| < 2 \cdot 10^5$, and tested conjectures on the distribution of the zeros statistically.

In 2018 the author computed zeros of P numerically and plotted them on a logarithmic scale [C0]. That computation found a cluster of zeros with $\operatorname{Re} s < 1.6$ and $\operatorname{Im} s < 800$. It also reported three further clusters with $\operatorname{Re} s > 10^9$ or $\operatorname{Im} s > 10^{13}$. This note explains the first cluster and shows that the other three are numerical artifacts.

We restrict mainly to the half-plane $\operatorname{Re} s > 1$, where P is single-valued and its Dirichlet series converges absolutely. There the zeros can be described completely.

Date: October 2026. Part 9 of the series *Cross-energy of Dirichlet partial sums* (seventeen parts; the plan of the series is in Part 1 [CE1]).

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 11M41; Secondary 30B50, 11K60, 11Y35.

Key words and phrases. prime zeta function, zeros of Dirichlet series, Jessen function, almost periodic functions, Kronecker's theorem.

1. **The right edge (Theorem 2.1).** Let σ_P be the unique real solution of $P(\sigma) = 2^{1-\sigma}$, that is, $2^{-\sigma} = \sum_{p \geq 3} p^{-\sigma}$. Then $\sigma_P = 1.7795446535 \dots$ and P has no zeros with $\operatorname{Re} s \geq \sigma_P$. Conversely, for every $1 < \sigma < \sigma_P$ there are zeros arbitrarily close to the line $\operatorname{Re} s = \sigma$; we do not claim that every such line contains a zero. So the closure of the real parts of the zeros in $\operatorname{Re} s > 1$ is exactly $[1, \sigma_P]$.
2. **The density (Section 3).** By Jessen's theorem on the zeros of almost periodic functions [Je, JT], the zeros with $\operatorname{Re} s$ in an interval have a frequency given by the second derivative of the mean $J(\sigma)$ of $\log |P|$ on vertical lines. We show that $J(\sigma) = -\sigma \log 2 + \mathbb{E} \log^+ (2^\sigma |Y_\sigma|)$, where $Y_\sigma = \sum_{p \geq 3} p^{-\sigma} e^{i\theta_p}$ has independent uniform phases, that J is C^∞ , and that $\sigma_P = \inf\{\sigma > 1 : J(\sigma) + \sigma \log 2 = 0\}$; we compute J through a Bessel-product formula.
3. **Certified counts (Section 4).** By the argument principle in ball arithmetic there are exactly 68 zeros (with multiplicity) with $1.1 \leq \operatorname{Re} s \leq 1.85$ and $0 < \operatorname{Im} s < 2000$, against 70.0 predicted, and exactly 12 with $1.5 \leq \operatorname{Re} s \leq 1.85$, $0 < \operatorname{Im} s < 5000$, against 12.0 predicted. The distribution of real parts matches the prediction bin by bin (numerically). The edge is sharp but practically invisible: by a heuristic large-deviation extrapolation (not certified), zeros with $\operatorname{Re} s > 1.7$ are expected about once every $6 \cdot 10^6$ units of height.

What is new. The zero-free half-plane $\operatorname{Re} s > \sigma_P$ is due to [BCS]. Theorem 2.1 adds the boundary line and shows that the half-plane is sharp; it is an instance of the classical theory of Bohr, Jessen and Tornehave for Dirichlet series with linearly independent frequencies [Bo, JT], in which a line $\operatorname{Re} s = \sigma$ carries zeros nearby exactly when no single term dominates the sum of the others, and we include a self-contained proof. New here are also the Jessen density of the real parts (Section 3), including its edge characterization and its flatness at σ_P ; the certified counts (Section 4); the heuristic edge asymptotics; and the analysis inside the critical strip (Section 5). The value 1.6826 found in [BCS] below height $2 \cdot 10^5$ is consistent with Section 3, which predicts about 4 zeros with real part above 1.65 and about 0.4 above 1.68 in that range.

The mechanism is the one studied in [CE17] for the Davenport–Heilbronn function: P is a sum, not a product, and its zeros are cancellations between the term 2^{-s} and the other primes. An Euler product cannot vanish where it converges absolutely, but a sum over primes can.

2. THE RIGHT EDGE

Theorem 2.1. Let $\sigma_P > 1$ be defined by $2^{-\sigma_P} = \sum_{p \geq 3} p^{-\sigma_P}$.

- (a) $P(s) \neq 0$ for $\operatorname{Re} s \geq \sigma_P$.
- (b) For every $1 < \sigma < \sigma_P$ and every $\varepsilon > 0$, the set of t for which P has a zero in the disc $|s - (\sigma + it)| < \varepsilon$ has positive lower density.
- (c) Consequently $\overline{\{\operatorname{Re} \rho : P(\rho) = 0, \operatorname{Re} \rho > 1\}} = [1, \sigma_P]$.

The number σ_P is well defined. The function $\sigma \mapsto \sum_{p \geq 3} (2/p)^\sigma$ is strictly decreasing, tends to $+\infty$ as $\sigma \rightarrow 1^+$, and tends to 0 as $\sigma \rightarrow \infty$. We computed σ_P from $P(\sigma) = \sum_k \mu(k) k^{-1} \log \zeta(k\sigma)$ (it agrees with [BCS]); a direct sum over the primes below $2 \cdot 10^6$, without tail correction, confirms it to about six digits.

Proof of (a). For $\operatorname{Re} s = \sigma \geq \sigma_P$,

$$|P(s)| \geq 2^{-\sigma} - \sum_{p \geq 3} p^{-\sigma} \geq 0.$$

The second inequality is strict for $\sigma > \sigma_P$. Let $\sigma = \sigma_P$ and $P(s) = 0$, $s = \sigma_P + it$. Equality in the triangle inequality forces every p^{-s} , $p \geq 3$, to point opposite to 2^{-s} , that is, $t \log(p/2) \equiv \pi \pmod{2\pi}$ for all $p \geq 3$. If $t = 0$ this is impossible, since $P(\sigma_P) > 0$. If $t \neq 0$, write $t \log \frac{3}{2} = b\pi$ and $t \log \frac{5}{2} = a\pi$ with a, b odd integers. Then $a, b \neq 0$ have the same sign and $b \log \frac{5}{2} = a \log \frac{3}{2}$, so

$(5/2)^{|b|} = (3/2)^{|a|}$, that is, $5^{|b|}2^{|a|} = 3^{|a|}2^{|b|}$. The left side is divisible by 5 and the right side is not, which contradicts unique factorization. $\square$

Lemma 2.2 (polygon lemma). Let $\ell_1 \geq \ell_2 \geq \dots \geq \ell_n > 0$, $n \geq 2$, with $\ell_1 \leq \ell_2 + \dots + \ell_n$. Then $\{\sum_j \ell_j e^{i\theta_j} : \theta_j \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is the closed disc of radius $\sum_j \ell_j$ centred at 0.

The hypothesis is necessary: for lengths 2 and 1 the set of sums is the annulus $1 \leq |z| \leq 3$.

Proof. The set K of sums is the image of a torus, so it is compact and connected, and it is invariant under rotations; so $\{|z| : z \in K\}$ is an interval containing $\sum_j \ell_j$, and it suffices to show $0 \in K$. Choose signs $\varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_n$ greedily ($\varepsilon_j = -1$ if the partial sum $\sum_{2 \leq i < j} \varepsilon_i \ell_i$ is positive, $+1$ otherwise); then $|\sum_{j \geq 2} \varepsilon_j \ell_j| \leq \ell_2 \leq \ell_1$. The moduli of the sums of $\ell_2, \dots, \ell_n$ therefore form an interval containing a value $\leq \ell_1$ and the value $\ell_2 + \dots + \ell_n \geq \ell_1$, hence containing ℓ_1 . Rotating, some sum of $\ell_2, \dots, \ell_n$ equals $-\ell_1$, and $0 \in K$. $\square$

Proof of (b). Write $a_p = p^{-\sigma}$. Since $a_2 < \sum_{p \geq 3} a_p$, we can choose N with $a_2 < \sum_{3 \leq p \leq N} a_p$ and, since $\sum_p a_p < \infty$, also with $\sum_{p > N} a_p < a_2$. The largest of the lengths a_p ($p \leq N$) is a_2 , so the polygon inequality $2 \max_{p \leq N} a_p < \sum_{p \leq N} a_p$ holds strictly, and by Lemma 2.2 the set $\{\sum_{p \leq N} a_p e^{i\theta_p}\}$ is the closed disc of radius $\sum_{p \leq N} a_p \geq a_2$.

Fix any phases θ_p for $p > N$. The tail $R = \sum_{p > N} a_p e^{i\theta_p}$ has modulus at most $\sum_{p > N} a_p < a_2$, so $-R$ lies in the disc, and we can choose the phases for $p \leq N$ with $\sum_{p \leq N} a_p e^{i\theta_p} = -R$. This gives phases with

$$F(s) := \sum_p e^{i\theta_p} p^{-s}, \quad F(\sigma) = 0.$$

F is analytic in $\operatorname{Re} s > 1$ and not identically zero ($2^s F(s) \rightarrow e^{i\theta_2}$ as $\operatorname{Re} s \rightarrow \infty$), so there is $\delta < \min(\varepsilon, \sigma - 1)$ with $m := \min_{|s - \sigma| = \delta} |F(s)| > 0$.

The numbers $\log p$ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{Q}$. By the Kronecker–Weyl theorem, for every Y and $\eta > 0$ the set of t with $|p^{-it} - e^{i\theta_p}| < \eta$ for all $p \leq Y$ has positive density (the measure of the corresponding box in the torus $\mathbb{T}^{\pi(Y)}$). For such t and $|s - \sigma| = \delta$,

$$|P(s + it) - F(s)| \leq \eta \sum_{p \leq Y} p^{-\sigma + \delta} + 2 \sum_{p > Y} p^{-\sigma + \delta}.$$

Take Y large and η small to make the right side smaller than m . By Rouché’s theorem $P(\cdot + it)$ has a zero in $|s - \sigma| < \delta$. $\square$

Proof of (c). By (a) and (b), the closure contains $(1, \sigma_P)$, hence $[1, \sigma_P]$, and is contained in $[1, \sigma_P]$. $\square$

This is Bohr’s classical argument [Bo], [T, Ch. XI]. Mantovanelli recently used the same scheme to find the exact right edge of the zeros of the Fibonacci zeta function [Ma]. For P no Diophantine obstruction arises, because all the frequencies $\log p$ are independent. The arithmetic enters only through unique factorization, in (a) and in the Kronecker–Weyl theorem.

3. THE JESSEN FUNCTION AND THE DENSITY OF ZEROS

For $\sigma > 1$ put

$$J(\sigma) = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^T \log |P(\sigma + it)| dt.$$

The theorem used. On every closed strip $\sigma_1 \leq \operatorname{Re} s \leq \sigma_2$ with $\sigma_1 > 1$, P is the sum of a uniformly and absolutely convergent Dirichlet series, hence an analytic almost periodic function in the sense of Bohr, and it is not identically zero. For such functions Jessen [Je] proved: the limit $J(\sigma)$ exists for every σ , uniformly on compact sets; J is convex; and the zeros, *counted with multiplicity*, have a frequency in the following sense. If ν is the measure $J''/2\pi$ (the distributional




FIGURE 1. The Jessen excess near the edge (numerical, not certified). (a), (b): $\log(J(\sigma) + \sigma \log 2)$ from the Bessel formula, for $1.2 \leq \sigma \leq 1.68$ (above the error floor), against $1/(\sigma_P - \sigma)$ and $1/\sqrt{\sigma_P - \sigma}$, with $-I(\sigma)$ shifted by a constant, I the Cramér rate of Section 3. (c): $I(\sigma)$ and $-\log(J + \sigma \log 2)$ against $\sigma_P - \sigma$ on logarithmic axes.

second derivative, a positive measure because J is convex), then for all $1 < \sigma_1 < \sigma_2$ at which J is differentiable,

$$\begin{aligned} N(\sigma_1, \sigma_2; T) &:= \#\{\rho : \sigma_1 < \operatorname{Re} \rho < \sigma_2, 0 < \operatorname{Im} \rho < T\} \\ &= \frac{T}{2\pi} (J'(\sigma_2) - J'(\sigma_1)) + o(T) = T \nu((\sigma_1, \sigma_2)) + o(T). \end{aligned}$$

The count for $0 < t < T$ follows from the version for $|t| < T$ because $P(\bar{s}) = \overline{P(s)}$. For a Dirichlet series with linearly independent frequencies the mean equals an expectation over independent uniform phases, by the equidistribution of $(p^{-it})_p$ on the infinite torus (Jessen and Tornehave [JT]; Bohr and Jessen [BJ] for $\log \zeta$):

$$J(\sigma) = \mathbb{E} \log \left| \sum_p p^{-\sigma} e^{i\theta_p} \right|.$$

Lemma 3.3 below shows that J is C^∞ , so the formula holds for all $\sigma_1 < \sigma_2$, and ν has the continuous density $d_P(\sigma) = J''(\sigma)/2\pi$.

Proposition 3.1. Let $Y_\sigma = \sum_{p \geq 3} p^{-\sigma} e^{i\theta_p}$. Then

$$J(\sigma) = -\sigma \log 2 + \mathbb{E} \log^+ (2^\sigma |Y_\sigma|).$$

In particular $J(\sigma) = -\sigma \log 2$ exactly for $\sigma \geq \sigma_P$, and $J(\sigma) > -\sigma \log 2$ for $1 < \sigma < \sigma_P$.

Proof. Condition on Y_σ and average over θ_2 alone. Jensen's formula for the linear function $z \mapsto 2^{-\sigma} z + Y$ on the unit circle gives $\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log |2^{-\sigma} e^{i\theta} + Y| d\theta = \max(\log 2^{-\sigma}, \log |Y|)$.

The event $|Y_\sigma| > 2^{-\sigma}$ has positive probability exactly when $\sup |Y_\sigma| = \sum_{p \geq 3} p^{-\sigma}$ exceeds $2^{-\sigma}$, that is, when $\sigma < \sigma_P$: Y_σ is a continuous function on the torus $\mathbb{T}^\infty$, so $\{|Y_\sigma| > 2^{-\sigma}\}$ is open, and Haar measure charges every nonempty open set. $\square$

Corollary 3.2 (edge characterization). $J(\sigma) + \sigma \log 2 \geq 0$ for all $\sigma > 1$, with equality exactly for $\sigma \geq \sigma_P$; hence

$$\sigma_P = \inf\{\sigma > 1 : J(\sigma) + \sigma \log 2 = 0\}.$$

Moreover J is strictly convex on $(1, \sigma_P]$ and affine on $[\sigma_P, \infty)$.

Proof. The first statements are Proposition 3.1. By Theorem 2.1(b) every strip $\sigma - \varepsilon < \operatorname{Re} s < \sigma + \varepsilon$ with $1 < \sigma < \sigma_P$ contains zeros with positive lower frequency (a set of t of lower density $c > 0$ gives at least $cT/(2\varepsilon) + o(T)$ zeros, since each zero serves a t -interval of length at most

2ε), so ν charges every open subinterval of $(1, \sigma_P)$ and J' is strictly increasing there; on $[\sigma_P, \infty)$, $J = -\sigma \log 2$. $\square$

Probability and density are different quantities. The excess $J(\sigma) + \sigma \log 2 = \mathbb{E} \log^+(2^\sigma |Y_\sigma|)$ is positive exactly when the event $|Y_\sigma| > 2^{-\sigma}$ has positive probability, so this event decides *where* zeros can occur. The density of zeros, however, is $d_P(\sigma) = J''(\sigma)/2\pi$, the second derivative of the excess; it is not the probability $\Pr(|Y_\sigma| > 2^{-\sigma})$. Both are determined by the distribution of Y_σ , and both collapse as $\sigma \rightarrow \sigma_P$, because the event requires almost all the phases to align.

An exact formula. For $r > 0$, $\int_0^\infty (e^{-x} - J_0(rx)) \frac{dx}{x} = \log \frac{r}{2}$. Since $Z = \sum_p p^{-\sigma} e^{i\theta_p}$ is isotropic with characteristic function $\mathbb{E} J_0(x|Z|) = \prod_p J_0(p^{-\sigma} x)$, taking expectations (Fubini on $[0, X]$, then $X \rightarrow \infty$) gives

$$J(\sigma) = \log 2 + \int_0^\infty \left(e^{-x} - \prod_p J_0(p^{-\sigma} x) \right) \frac{dx}{x}.$$

Lemma 3.3. $J \in C^\infty(1, \infty)$.

Proof. Let $\Phi(x, \sigma) = \prod_p J_0(p^{-\sigma} x)$ and $1 < \sigma_0 \leq \sigma \leq \sigma_1$. Since $|J_0| \leq 1$, $|J_0(y)| \leq (2/(\pi y))^{1/2}$ for $y > 0$ [W, §13.74], so $|J_0(y)| < 0.4$ for $y \geq 4$, and $|J_0^{(j)}| \leq 1$, every derivative $\partial_\sigma^k \Phi$ is a sum, absolutely convergent because $\sum_p \log^k p p^{-\sigma_0} < \infty$, of terms bounded by $C_k x^k$ times a product of all but at most k of the factors. At least $\pi((x/4)^{1/\sigma_1}) - k$ of the remaining factors are below 0.4 in modulus, so $\partial_\sigma^k \Phi(x, \sigma)$ decays faster than any power of x , uniformly in σ . Near $x = 0$, $\partial_\sigma^k \Phi = O(x^2)$ uniformly, since $\Phi = 1 - \frac{x^2}{4} \sum_p p^{-2\sigma} + O(x^4)$. So all derivatives may be taken under the integral sign. $\square$

We evaluate the product exactly for $p \leq 10^4$. For $10^4 < p \leq 2 \cdot 10^6$ we use $\log J_0(y) = -y^2/4 - y^4/64 - y^6/576 + O(y^8)$, and we add an integral estimate for $p > 2 \cdot 10^6$. For $\sigma \geq 1.8$, where the excess is exactly 0, the computed $J(\sigma) + \sigma \log 2$ is below 10^{-8} in modulus; this is the error floor of the computation (double precision, not certified). The values also agree with the time average of $\log |P(\sigma + it)|$ over $0 < t < 2000$ to within 10^{-3} at $\sigma = 1.3$ and 1.5.

σ	$J(\sigma) + \sigma \log 2$	$J'(\sigma)$	$J''(\sigma)/2\pi$
1.10	$4.13 \cdot 10^{-2}$	-0.9131	0.1200
1.20	$2.28 \cdot 10^{-2}$	-0.8445	0.0997
1.30	$1.06 \cdot 10^{-2}$	-0.7870	0.0834
1.40	$3.68 \cdot 10^{-3}$	-0.7404	0.0641
1.50	$7.13 \cdot 10^{-4}$	-0.7083	0.0366
1.60	$3.41 \cdot 10^{-5}$	-0.6947	0.0085
1.70	$\approx 6 \cdot 10^{-9}$ (extrapolated)	-0.6931	$\approx 2.7 \cdot 10^{-5}$ (extrapolated)

Since $J'(\sigma_P) = -\log 2$, the number of zeros per unit height with real part greater than σ is $(-\log 2 - J'(\sigma))/2\pi$:

$\text{Re } \rho >$	1.1	1.3	1.5	1.6	1.65	1.7	1.72
zeros per unit height	0.0350	0.0149	0.0024	$2.4 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$2.3 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$\approx 1.6 \cdot 10^{-7}$	$\approx 3 \cdot 10^{-9}$

The entries for $\sigma \geq 1.7$ are below the error floor of the Bessel computation and are extrapolations by the large-deviation rate described next (heuristic). With them, a zero with real part above 1.7 is expected about once every $6 \cdot 10^6$ units of height, and one above 1.72 about once every $3 \cdot 10^8$.

Corollary 3.4 (flatness at the edge). For every N , $J(\sigma) + \sigma \log 2$, $J'(\sigma) + \log 2$ and $J''(\sigma)$ are $O((\sigma_P - \sigma)^N)$ as $\sigma \rightarrow \sigma_P^-$. In particular the density of the real parts of the zeros and the number

of zeros per unit height with real part above σ tend to 0 faster than any power of $\sigma_P - \sigma$, and J is not real-analytic at σ_P .

Proof. By Lemma 3.3 and Corollary 3.2, $g(\sigma) = J(\sigma) + \sigma \log 2$ is C^∞ on $(1, \infty)$ and vanishes identically on $[\sigma_P, \infty)$; so all its derivatives vanish at σ_P , and Taylor's theorem gives the bounds. If J were real-analytic at σ_P , g would vanish near σ_P , contradicting Corollary 3.2. $\square$

Behaviour at the edge (heuristic). The event $|Y_\sigma| > 2^{-\sigma}$ is a large deviation. Its Cramér rate in a fixed direction,

$$I(\sigma) = \sup_{\lambda > 0} \left(\lambda 2^{-\sigma} - \sum_{p \geq 3} \log I_0(\lambda p^{-\sigma}) \right),$$

can be computed accurately for every $\sigma < \sigma_P$, and $I(\sigma) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\sigma \rightarrow \sigma_P$. On the range where the Bessel values are reliable ($1.2 \leq \sigma \leq 1.68$), $\log(J(\sigma) + \sigma \log 2) + I(\sigma)$ varies only from -1.6 to -3.4 while I goes from 2.2 to 12.6 (Figure 1(a),(b)), consistent with $\log(J(\sigma) + \sigma \log 2) = -I(\sigma)(1 + o(1))$. A saddle-point analysis of I (the primes $p \lesssim \lambda^{1/\sigma}$ are nearly aligned and the remaining tail is of the size of the deficit $\sum_{p \geq 3} p^{-\sigma} - 2^{-\sigma} \asymp \sigma_P - \sigma$) suggests

$$I(\sigma) \asymp x^{-1/(\sigma_P - 1)} \left(\log \frac{1}{x} \right)^{-\sigma_P/(\sigma_P - 1)}, \quad x = \sigma_P - \sigma,$$

with $1/(\sigma_P - 1) = 1.283$. The local exponent $d \log I / d \log \frac{1}{x}$ computed from I is 0.88, 0.95, 1.02 at $x = 10^{-2}, 10^{-3}, 10^{-4}$, against 0.79, 0.95, 1.03 for $1.283 - 2.283 / \log \frac{1}{x}$. Decay faster than any power is proved (Corollary 3.4); if the heuristic holds, the excess decays eventually faster than $e^{-c/(\sigma_P - \sigma)}$ for every c , which is not proved. Figure 1 shows $\log(J + \sigma \log 2)$ against $1/(\sigma_P - \sigma)$ and $1/\sqrt{\sigma_P - \sigma}$.

4. NUMERICAL VERIFICATION

Evaluation of P . For $\operatorname{Re} s \geq 1.1$ we use

$$P(s) = \operatorname{Log} \zeta(s) + \sum_{p \leq M} (\log(1 - p^{-s}) + p^{-s}) + E, \quad |E| \leq \frac{M^{1-2\sigma}}{2(2\sigma - 1)(1 - M^{-\sigma})},$$

which follows from $\log \zeta(s) = -\sum_p \log(1 - p^{-s})$ by bounding $\sum_{p > M} \sum_{m \geq 2} p^{-m\sigma}/m$. Here Log is the principal branch: for $\operatorname{Re} s \geq 1.1$, $|\operatorname{Im} \log \zeta(s)| \leq \log \zeta(1.1) < \pi$. We take $M = 10^4$ for $\operatorname{Re} s < 1.3$ and $M = 2000$ otherwise, and evaluate ζ , the logarithms and the exponentials in ball arithmetic (Arb). A second, independent floating-point implementation ($P(s) = \log \zeta(s) - \sum_{k=2}^{39} P(ks)/k$, Euler–Maclaurin for ζ) reproduces the zeros to six digits.

Certified counting. On a side of a rectangle with $\operatorname{Re} s \geq s_0$ we use $|P'(s)| \leq \sum_p \log p p^{-s_0} \leq -\zeta'/\zeta(s_0) =: B$. From a point s_j where the ball for $P(s_j)$ has modulus at least l_j , we step by $h_j \leq 0.45 l_j/B$. On $[s_j, s_{j+1}]$ the values of P stay in a disc of radius $< l_j/2$ around $P(s_j)$, which does not contain 0; so P does not vanish on the contour, and the change of $\arg P$ over the step is the principal $\operatorname{Arg}(P(s_{j+1})/P(s_j))$, of modulus $< \pi/3$. Summing (in chunks, so that the error does not accumulate; Appendix A) gives the winding numbers as balls of radius at most 0.03, each containing exactly one integer. At the nodes s_j , $|P| \geq 0.0013$ on $\operatorname{Re} s = 1.1$, $|P| \geq 2.6 \cdot 10^{-4}$ on $\operatorname{Re} s = 1.5$, $|P| \geq 0.0035$ on $\operatorname{Re} s = 1.6$ and $|P| \geq 0.05$ on $\operatorname{Re} s = 1.85$; hence $|P|$ is at least 0.55 times these values on the whole contour. The corners of the rectangles are the binary floating-point numbers nearest to the decimal values; since no zero lies within 10^{-5} of the sides, the counts are the same for the decimal rectangles.

region	zeros (certified)	Jessen prediction
$1.1 \leq \operatorname{Re} s \leq 1.85, 0 < t < 1000$	31	35.0
$1.1 \leq \operatorname{Re} s \leq 1.85, 0 < t < 2000$	68	70.0
$1.5 \leq \operatorname{Re} s \leq 1.85, 0 < t < 5000$	12	12.0
$1.6 \leq \operatorname{Re} s \leq 1.85, 0 < t < 5000$	0	1.2

Each counted zero was then located by Newton's method (Figure 2) to $|P| < 10^{-9}$ (floating point). The real parts of the 68 zeros below height 2000, grouped by bins of $\text{Re } s$ (the totals are certified, the assignment to bins is numerical):

bin	[1.1, 1.2)	[1.2, 1.3)	[1.3, 1.4)	[1.4, 1.5)	[1.5, 1.6)	[1.6, 1.7)	[1.7, σ_P)
observed	21	18	13	11	5	0	0
predicted	21.8	18.3	14.9	10.2	4.3	0.5	0.0

The first zeros with $\text{Re } s \geq 1.1$ are

ρ	ρ
$1.44721094 + 38.10821211i$	$1.17973345 + 147.71901017i$
$1.16156144 + 52.71994968i$	$1.24638989 + 162.76815655i$
$1.28212460 + 71.68062565i$	$1.15753462 + 193.87635806i$
$1.27478463 + 132.76939611i$	$1.33721055 + 223.47522197i$

Below $\text{Re } s = 1.1$ there are further zeros, for example $1.0619 + 23.7173i$ and $1.0706 + 85.9974i$. The largest real part below height 5000 is attained at $1.58696272 + 1402.15683346i$.

The 2018 clusters. The zeros with $1 < \text{Re } s < 1.6$ found in [C0] are genuine. Those with real part below 1 lie in the region where P is multivalued, so they depend on the branch of $\log \zeta$ used by the software. The clusters with $\text{Re } s > 10^9$ are artifacts: there $P(s) \approx 2^{-s}$, which is smaller than any floating-point tolerance, so a root-finder accepts every point. Those with $\text{Im } s > 10^{13}$ are also artifacts, because the phases $t \log p$ exceed double precision; genuine zeros with $\text{Re } s < \sigma_P$ do exist at such heights by Theorem 2.1(b), but not at the computed locations. Theorem 2.1(a) excludes all of these with $\text{Re } s \geq \sigma_P$ rigorously.




FIGURE 2. Left: zeros of P with $\text{Re } s \geq 1.1$ (all below height 2000; those with $\text{Re } s > 1.5$ up to height 5000; counts certified, locations floating point) and the edge σ_P . Centre: distribution of real parts below height 2000 against the Jessen prediction $TJ''(\sigma)/2\pi$. Right: the excess $J(\sigma) + \sigma \log 2$.

5. INSIDE THE CRITICAL STRIP

For $0 < \text{Re } s < 1$ the continuation $\sum_k \mu(k)k^{-1} \log \zeta(ks)$ has no poles. It has logarithmic branch points:

- at $s = 1/k$ for squarefree k , where $P(s) \approx -\frac{\mu(k)}{k} \log(s - 1/k)$;

- at $s = \rho/k$ for every zero ρ of ζ , where $P(s) \approx \frac{\mu(k)}{k} m_\rho \log(s - \rho/k)$ and m_ρ is the multiplicity of ρ .

A zero of P in this region is only defined once a single-valued branch on a specified domain is fixed. We separate three statements: which domains carry a single-valued branch, the relation with RH, and the branch we compute.

Proposition 5.1. Let $\Omega = \{\operatorname{Re} s > \frac{1}{2}\} \setminus (\frac{1}{2}, 1]$, a simply connected domain, and $A(s) = \sum_{m \geq 2} P(ms)/m$, which is analytic in $\operatorname{Re} s > \frac{1}{2}$.

- (a) RH holds if and only if P extends from $\operatorname{Re} s > 1$ to a single-valued analytic function on Ω .
- (b) Let $T_0 = 3 \cdot 10^{12}$. On the convex domain $U = \{\operatorname{Re} s > \frac{1}{2}, 0 < \operatorname{Im} s < T_0\}$, ζ has no zeros [PT], so $\log \zeta$ has a unique branch on U that agrees with the series for $\operatorname{Re} s > 1$, and $P = \log \zeta - A$ is single-valued on U . Every continuation of P along a path inside U gives this branch, so the zeros of P in U are canonical.

Proof. For $m \geq 2$ and $\operatorname{Re} s > \frac{1}{2}$, $\operatorname{Re} ms > 1$, so A is analytic there, and $P = \log \zeta - A$ on $\operatorname{Re} s > 1$. (a) If RH holds, ζ has no zeros on Ω (it has none on $(\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ in any case, and its pole $s = 1$ is not in Ω); since Ω is simply connected, $\log \zeta$ has an analytic branch on Ω extending the series, and $\log \zeta - A$ extends P . Conversely, if F is analytic on Ω and equals P on $\operatorname{Re} s > 1$, then $\exp(F + A)$ is analytic on Ω and equals ζ on $\operatorname{Re} s > 1$, hence on Ω by the identity theorem; so ζ has no zeros in Ω , and therefore none with $\operatorname{Re} s > \frac{1}{2}$, which is RH. (b) U is convex, hence simply connected, and $\zeta \neq 0, \infty$ on U . $\square$

Statement (a) is a reformulation of the classical fact that RH is equivalent to the existence of an analytic branch of $\log \zeta$ in $\operatorname{Re} s > \frac{1}{2}$ minus the segment $(\frac{1}{2}, 1]$; it is not progress towards RH. If RH failed, a zero ρ with $\operatorname{Re} \rho > \frac{1}{2}$ would be a logarithmic branch point of P , and continuation around it would change P by $2\pi i m_\rho$.

Zeros of P and values of ζ . On U , $P(s) = L(s) - A(s)$, where L is the branch of $\log \zeta$ of Proposition 5.1(b). So

$$P(s) = 0 \iff L(s) = A(s) \implies \zeta(s) = e^{A(s)},$$

and the converse of the last implication holds only up to the branch: $\zeta(s) = e^{A(s)}$ if and only if $P(s) \in 2\pi i \mathbb{Z}$. So the zeros of P are the solutions of $\zeta(s) = e^{A(s)}$ on which, in addition, $|P(s)| < 2\pi$. For $\operatorname{Re} s \geq 1.1$ the extra condition is automatic, since $|P(s)| \leq P(1.1) < 2\pi$. This links the zeros of P with the value distribution of ζ : they are points where ζ takes the prescribed value $e^{A(s)}$, whose modulus $e^{\operatorname{Re} A(s)}$ lies between $e^{-A(\sigma)}$ and $e^{A(\sigma)}$ for $\operatorname{Re} s = \sigma$.

Numerics. On rectangles $[0.55, 1.1] \times [a, a + 5]$ we continue $\log \zeta$ along the boundary, starting from the principal value at $\operatorname{Re} s = 1.1$. The total change of $\arg \zeta$ around every rectangle was 0, which confirms that no zero of ζ lies inside, so the computed values are those of the branch of Proposition 5.1(b). The terms $m \geq 2$ are computed as in Section 4. These computations are in floating point and are not certified.

Up to height 1000 there are 240 zeros of P with $0.55 \leq \operatorname{Re} s \leq 1.1$. We located the 128 below height 600 by Newton's method.

The Bohr–Jessen random model still describes the values of P in this range. The mean of $\log |P(\sigma + it)|$ over $500 < t < 1000$ agrees with $J(\sigma) = \mathbb{E} \log |\sum_p p^{-\sigma} e^{i\theta_p}|$ to within 0.01 for $\sigma = 0.6, \dots, 1.0$; the random series converges almost surely for $\sigma > \frac{1}{2}$.

The counts agree with the model only away from $\frac{1}{2}$:

band of $\operatorname{Re} s$	[0.55, 0.6)	[0.6, 0.7)	[0.7, 0.8)	[0.8, 0.9)	[0.9, 1.1)
observed, $t < 600$	16	46	27	17	22
$\frac{600}{2\pi} \Delta J'$	208	91	32	17	19

We separate what is proved, what is observed and what is only a proposed explanation. *Proved:* the asymptotic theory of Section 3 applies to any closed strip inside $\operatorname{Re} s > 1$. Inside the critical strip Jessen’s theorem does not apply directly, since P is not almost periodic there; Borchsenius and Jessen [BoJ] extended the theory to the values of ζ in $\frac{1}{2} < \operatorname{Re} s < 1$ by approximation in mean square, and their method should extend to $P = \log \zeta - A$, but we do not prove this. The existence of a limiting value distribution of $P(\sigma + it)$ for $\sigma > \frac{1}{2}$ should likewise follow from the Bohr–Jessen method [BJ]; the agreement of the mean of $\log |P|$ with J and the zero counts are numerical. *Observed:* for $\operatorname{Re} s \geq 0.7$ the counts below height 600 agree with $\frac{T}{2\pi} \Delta J'$; nearer to $\frac{1}{2}$ they fall far below it, and the density in the band $[0.6, 0.7)$ doubles between $t < 500$ and $500 < t < 1000$. *Heuristic explanation (not proved):* the random model’s variance $\sum_p p^{-2\sigma}$ diverges as $\sigma \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}$, but at height t only primes up to about t are expected to act independently, so the asymptotic density would be reached only when $\log \log t$ is large compared with $\log \frac{1}{\sigma - 1/2}$, which is out of numerical reach near $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$. We have no quantitative estimate for this.

The zeros of P are also drawn towards the zeros of ζ . Their median distance to the nearest zero of ζ is 0.31 for $0.55 \leq \operatorname{Re} s < 0.8$. For random points with the same real parts it is 0.45–0.51. This attraction is consistent with the identity above: near a zero of ζ the argument of ζ turns quickly, so the condition $\zeta(s) = e^{A(s)}$ is met more often there. A sharper empirical rule is that the zeros of P follow the 1-points of ζ (where A is replaced by 0): below $t = 100$ each of the 18 zeros of P located in [CE8, Sect. 9] lies within 0.08 to 0.59 of a 1-point, and the 1-points themselves fall, typically one per gap, between consecutive zeros of ζ [CE8, Rem. 17]. The first-order “satellite” prediction $s \approx \rho + e^{A(\rho)}/\zeta'(\rho)$ is not accurate, because the displacement is not small.




FIGURE 3. Left: zeros of P with $\operatorname{Re} s \geq 0.55$ below height 600 (branch of Proposition 5.1(b); floating point), with the zeros of ζ on the critical line. Right: counts by band of $\operatorname{Re} s$ against the asymptotic Bohr–Jessen prediction, which is not proved to apply inside the critical strip.

Below $\frac{1}{2}$. For $\operatorname{Re} s < \frac{1}{2}$ the continuation of $\log \zeta(s)$ from the right has to pass around the zeros of ζ . One convenient single-valued branch of P is obtained by introducing horizontal cuts extending leftward from the logarithmic branch points ρ and ρ/k ; across such a cut it jumps by $2\pi i m_\rho \mu(k)/k$. Other compatible systems of cuts are possible, and the zeros of P in this region depend on the choice of branch; they are zeros of a particular branch, not of the multivalued function. The 2018 zeros with real part below $\frac{1}{2}$ [C0] belong to this regime.

6. DISCUSSION

The zeros of P in its half-plane of absolute convergence are an interference phenomenon. The term 2^{-s} is cancelled by the joint contribution of the other primes, whose phases p^{-it} behave as independent random variables. The edge σ_P is where the other primes, perfectly aligned, just match $2^{-\sigma}$. The density near the edge is governed by the probability that independent phases nearly align. This is the prime-sum analogue of the interference between two Euler products that produces the off-line zeros of the Davenport–Heilbronn function [CE17, §4].

For ζ itself the analogous statement is trivial: an Euler product has no zeros where it converges absolutely. The contrast between $P = \sum_p p^{-s}$ and $\zeta = \prod_p (1 - p^{-s})^{-1}$ is the contrast between a sum and a product over the same primes. It gives no new restriction on the zeros of ζ .

status	results
proved	Theorem 2.1 (with [BCS] for $\operatorname{Re} s > \sigma_P$), Lemma 2.2, Proposition 3.1, Corollary 3.2, Lemma 3.3, Corollary 3.4, Proposition 5.1, the identity $P = 0 \iff L = A$
certified computation	the four counts of Section 4 (ball arithmetic)
numerical (floating point)	the Jessen values and predictions, the bin counts and locations of the zeros, everything in Section 5
heuristic	the edge rate $\log(J + \sigma \log 2) \sim -I(\sigma)$ and the growth of I ; the finite-height explanation near $\operatorname{Re} s = \frac{1}{2}$

Three questions remain open.

- **The rate at the edge.** Is $\log(J(\sigma) + \sigma \log 2) \sim -I(\sigma)$ as $\sigma \rightarrow \sigma_P^-$, and is $I(\sigma) \asymp (\sigma_P - \sigma)^{-1/(\sigma_P - 1)}$ up to logarithmic factors? (Decay faster than any power is Corollary 3.4.)
- **Near the critical line.** Does the Borchsenius–Jessen method give the density of zeros of P in $\frac{1}{2} < \operatorname{Re} s < 1$, and is there a finite-height model that predicts the counts for $\frac{1}{2} < \operatorname{Re} s < 0.7$? What is the precise law of the attraction between zeros of P and zeros of ζ ?
- **Values of ζ .** The zeros of P are solutions of $\zeta(s) = e^{A(s)}$ (Section 5). How are they distributed relative to the 1-points and a -points of ζ ? This question is independent of RH.

Nothing in this part bears on the truth of RH beyond the reformulation in Proposition 5.1(a), which is classical. We do not prove the Riemann hypothesis.

APPENDIX A. COMPUTATIONS

All computations are reproduced by the ancillary scripts in `anc/` (see `anc/README.txt`).

Certified (ball arithmetic, Arb via python-flint). `certified/certify_counts.py` evaluates P by the formula of Section 4 with the stated truncation bound, bounds $|P'|$ by $-\zeta'/\zeta(s_0)$ on each side, walks along the sides with steps $h_j \leq 0.45 l_j/B$, and checks at each step that the arguments are resolved. To prevent the radius from growing with the number of steps (about 10^5 per side), the increments are grouped into chunks of length about 25: for each chunk the true change of argument lies both in the sum S of the increment balls and in $R + 2\pi\mathbb{Z}$, where $R = \operatorname{Arg}(P(\text{end})/P(\text{start}))$; when $\operatorname{rad} S + \operatorname{rad} R < 1$ the integer is unique and the chunk contributes $R + 2\pi k$. If R is not resolved (the ratio is near the negative real axis), or if $\operatorname{rad} S$ exceeds 0.5, the chunk is closed and contributes S itself, which is also rigorous. The script records the minimum of $|P|$ on each side and the number of steps (`run_left.log`, `run_right.log`); the windings are in `certify_counts.out`. Run time: a few minutes per side.

Floating point, not certified. σ_P (Brent’s method on the Möbius-inverted real values, checked with a direct sum over the primes below $2 \cdot 10^6$); the Jessen function and its derivatives

(`jessen.py`, `density.py`); the edge rate and the extrapolations (`edge.py`, `fig_edge.py`); the location of the zeros (`locate.py`, `locate_edge.py`); and all of Section 5 (`strip.py`, `count_strip.py`, `locate_strip.py`, `vavg.py`, `trunc.py`).

REFERENCES

- [BCS] I. Belovas, R. Čepaitytė, M. Sabaliauskas, On the zero-free region and the distribution of zeros of the prime zeta function, *An. Șt. Univ. Ovidius Constanța Ser. Mat.* 33 (2025), no. 2, 27–44, doi:10.2478/auom-2025-0017.
- [Bo] H. Bohr, Über das Verhalten von $\zeta(s)$ in der Halbebene $\sigma > 1$, *Nachr. Ges. Wiss. Göttingen* (1911), 409–428.
- [BJ] H. Bohr, B. Jessen, Über die Werteverteilung der Riemannschen Zetafunktion, *Acta Math.* 54 (1930), 1–35; 58 (1932), 1–55.
- [BoJ] V. Borchsenius, B. Jessen, Mean motions and values of the Riemann zeta function, *Acta Math.* 80 (1948), 97–166.
- [C0] P. Caceres, PrimeZeta, DNA Primes Math Blog, 2018, <https://dna-primes.com/primezeta>; and Riemann zeta function – nine propositions, unpublished note, 2018.
- [Fr] C.-E. Fröberg, On the prime zeta function, *BIT* 8 (1968), 187–202.
- [Je] B. Jessen, Über die Nullstellen einer analytischen fastperiodischen Funktion. Eine Verallgemeinerung der Jensenschen Formel, *Math. Ann.* 108 (1933), 485–516.
- [JT] B. Jessen, H. Tornehave, Mean motions and zeros of almost periodic functions, *Acta Math.* 77 (1945), 137–279.
- [LW] E. Landau, A. Walfisz, Über die Nichtfortsetzbarkeit einiger durch Dirichletsche Reihen definierter Funktionen, *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo* 44 (1920), 82–86.
- [Ma] M. Mantovanelli, The right edge of the zero set of the Fibonacci zeta function, arXiv:2609.04993, 2026.
- [PT] D. J. Platt, T. S. Trudgian, The Riemann hypothesis is true up to $3 \cdot 10^{12}$, *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* 53 (2021), 792–797.
- [T] E. C. Titchmarsh, *The Theory of the Riemann Zeta-Function*, 2nd ed., Oxford University Press, 1986.
- [W] G. N. Watson, *A Treatise on the Theory of Bessel Functions*, 2nd ed., Cambridge University Press, 1944.
- [CE8] P. Caceres, Cross-energy of Dirichlet partial sums. Part 8: The Euler product inside the critical strip, preprint, 2026.
- [CE17] P. Caceres, Cross-energy of Dirichlet partial sums. Part 17: Controls for the Riemann hypothesis: Davenport–Heilbronn, Beurling systems and function fields, preprint, 2026.
- [CE1] P. Caceres, Cross-energy of Dirichlet partial sums. Part 1: The C-transform and the cross-energy, preprint, 2026.

UNIVERSIDAD EUROPEA DE VALENCIA, VALENCIA, SPAIN

Email address: `pedrojesus.caceres@universidadeuropea.es`